



SHIRE OF ASHBURTON

SPECIAL MEETING OF COUNCIL

AGENDA & ATTACHMENTS

**Council Chambers, Community Recreation
Centre, Tom Price**

1 May 2013

SHIRE OF ASHBURTON
SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

Dear Councillor

Notice is hereby given that an Special Meeting of the Council of the Shire of Ashburton will be held on 1 May 2013 at Council Chambers, Community Recreation Centre, Tom Price commencing at 9:00 am with telephone links to the Onslow Multi-Purpose Centre, and the Conference Room, City of Kalgoorlie Boulder, pursuant to Seciton 5.25 (1) (ba) of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

The business to be transacted is shown in the Agenda.

Frank Ludovico
A/CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

DISCLAIMER

The recommendations contained in the Agenda are subject to confirmation by Council. The Shire of Ashburton warns that anyone who has any application lodged with Council must obtain and should only rely on written confirmation of the outcomes of the application following the Council meeting, and any conditions attaching to the decision made by the Council in respect of the application. No responsibility whatsoever is implied or accepted by the Shire of Ashburton for any act, omission or statement or intimation occurring during a Council meeting.

Special Meeting of Council 1 May 2013

1.	DECLARATION OF OPENING.....	4
2.	ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS.....	4
3.	ATTENDANCE	4
3.1	PRESENT.....	4
3.2	APOLOGIES.....	4
3.3	APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE.....	4
4.	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING PERSON WITHOUT DISCUSSION	4
5.	DECLARATION BY MEMBERS.....	4
5.1	DECLARATION OF INTEREST	4
6.	BUSINESS	6
6.1	AWARD OF CONTRACT 04/13 - PROVISION OF BITUMINOUS AND ASPHALT SUPPLY AND SERVICES FOR ONSLOW AERODROME AND ASSOCIATED WORKS	6
6.2	ENDORSEMENT OF THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MOU) BETWEEN THE SHIRE OF ASHBURTON AND ONSLOW SALT PTY LTD DEFINING LAND USE AND ASSET ACCESS ON ADJOINING AND OVERLAPPING LEASES / LAND TO FACILITATE THE DIVERSION OF THE ONSLOW TOWN WATER MAIN.....	10
6.3	TENDER RFT 01/13 DEMOLITION OF BUILDINGS.....	11
7.	NEXT MEETING.....	13
8.	CLOSURE OF MEETING	13

Special Meeting of Council 1 May 2013

(b) At the Meeting, immediately before the matter is discussed.

A member, who makes a disclosure in respect to an interest, must not:

(c) Preside at the part of the Meeting, relating to the matter or;

(d) Participate in, or be present during any discussion or decision-making procedure relative to the matter, unless to the extent that the disclosing member is allowed to do so under Section 5.68 or Section 5.69 of the Local Government Act 1995.

NOTES ON FINANCIAL INTEREST (FOR YOUR GUIDANCE)

The following notes are a basic guide for Councillors when they are considering whether they have a Financial Interest in a matter.

I intend to include these notes in each agenda for the time being so that Councillors may refresh their memory.

1. A Financial Interest requiring disclosure occurs when a Council decision might advantageously or detrimentally affect the Councillor or a person closely associated with the Councillor and is capable of being measure in money terms. There are exceptions in the Local Government Act 1995 but they should not be relied on without advice, unless the situation is very clear.
2. If a Councillor is a member of an Association (which is a Body Corporate) with not less than 10 members i.e. sporting, social, religious etc), and the Councillor is not a holder of office of profit or a guarantor, and has not leased land to or from the club, i.e., if the Councillor is an ordinary member of the Association, the Councillor has a common and not a financial interest in any matter to that Association.
3. If an interest is shared in common with a significant number of electors or ratepayers, then the obligation to disclose that interest does not arise. Each case needs to be considered.
4. If in doubt declare.
5. As stated in (b) above, if written notice disclosing the interest has not been given to the Chief Executive Officer before the meeting, then it **MUST** be given when the matter arises in the Agenda, and immediately before the matter is discussed.
6. Ordinarily the disclosing Councillor must leave the meeting room before discussion commences. The **only** exceptions are:
 - 6.1 Where the Councillor discloses the **extent** of the interest, and Council carries a motion under s.5.68(1)(b)(ii) or the Local Government Act; or
 - 6.2 Where the Minister allows the Councillor to participate under s.5.69(3) of the Local Government Act, with or without conditions.

6. BUSINESS

6.1 AWARD OF CONTRACT 04/13 - PROVISION OF BITUMINOUS AND ASPHALT SUPPLY AND SERVICES FOR ONSLOW AERODROME AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

FILE REFERENCE:	AS.TE.4.13
AUTHOR'S NAME AND POSITION:	Fiona Keneally Executive Manager, Operations
NAME OF APPLICANT/RESPONDENT:	Not Applicable
DATE REPORT WRITTEN:	28 April 2013
DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST:	The author has no financial interest in the proposal.
PREVIOUS MEETING REFERENCE:	Agenda Item 6.1, (Minute No: 7), Special Meeting of Council 21 March 2013 Agenda Item 14.1, (Minute No: 11466), Ordinary Meeting of Council 13 March 2013

Summary

The Shire is currently undertaking the Onslow Aerodrome Redevelopment including construction of a new 1900m airstrip to CASA Code 3C requirements.

The construction of the runway requires extensive bituminous and asphalt works to complete the project.

The evaluation criteria for the Provision of Bituminous and Asphalt Supply and Services for Onslow Aerodrome and Associated Works was approved at the 21 March 2013 Special Meeting of Council and quotes were sought through WALGA preferred suppliers.

Three proposals were received. Following review and assessment of the quotes it is recommended that Boral Resources (WA) Ltd be awarded the quote for the Provision of Bituminous and Asphalt Supply and Services for Onslow Aerodrome and Associated Works.

Background

Provision of the required quantities of construction water for the Onslow Aerodrome

Special Meeting of Council 1 May 2013

Redevelopment has been problematic due to the shortage of available freshwater in the vicinity. The construction of the runway embankment has been undertaken with the use of salt water.

ARRB Group Ltd (ARRB) was engaged to assist the Council to seek possible salt mitigation measures, gain a full understanding of the long term effects that the use of salt water, local climate and surrounding environment may have on the Aerodrome construction site and whether the use of salt water as construction water is a suitable pavement construction alternative.

Council received and endorsed the ARRB Contract Report – The Use of Seawater in Onslow Aerodrome Pavement Construction at the 21 March 2013 Special Meeting of Council. The same Special Meeting of Council also endorsed the construction of the aerodrome runway pavement using sea water along with the evaluation criteria outlined below for the Provision of Bituminous and Asphalt Supply and Services for Onslow Aerodrome and Associated Works.

Comment

Price proposals were sought through the tender-exempt, WALGA Preferred Supplier Contract utilising the E-Quote system. All eight approved asphalt and bitumen vendors were notified of the request. Three responses were received.

The proposal required vendors to submit a schedule of rates for the provision of bituminous and asphalt supply and services. It also required the submission of proposed materials and products that complied with the specification. The General Conditions of Contract shall be AS4000. A best value for money approach was applied to the evaluation.

Several options and products were being considered at the time of the request due to ongoing laboratory testing and uncertainty of product price and availability in remote locations such as the Pilbara. Finalisation of the bituminous and asphalt design is nearing completion. Vendors were requested to provide rates for three prime options and three asphalt binder options.

A polymer modified binder (PMB) is the recommended option for the asphalt mix, however there was concern that this might have been unaffordable to the project. Austroads A35P and A10E are the two polymer modified binders being considered. A C320 binder was also nominated to be considered if the polymer modified binder was unaffordable to the project however this is not a preferred option as it is the least suitable product for the application.

PMB asphalt applications are used to;

- Improve deformation resistance
- Improve fatigue and crack resistance
- Improved aggregate retention
- Improve resistance to high traffic stresses
- Improved stiffness

ARRB recommend A35P as the ideal choice for the project. The A10E is very similar to the polymer modified binder specified for the waterproofing seal and the C320 is a commonly used non-modified binder often specified in normal road works.

The evaluation panel consisted of Fiona Keneally, Allan Monson and Michelle Tovey.

Special Meeting of Council 1 May 2013

Evaluation of the non-price criteria resulted in equivalent scores for both Downer EDI and Boral Resources (WA) Ltd (Boral).

Evaluation of price criteria considering all options is attached.

ATTACHMENT 6.1A

It is evident from the attachment that the lowest quoted rates for a polymer modified binder asphalt (A10E) are affordable when compared to the lowest quoted rates for a C320 binder asphalt, regardless of the selection of prime options. Lowest rates for the preferred A35P only exceed lowest C320 rates by margins up to 10%.

Therefore further evaluation was on the basis of the following three prime, waterproofing seal and PMB asphalt combinations –

Option 1 (ARRB Preferred) - SAMI Prime K2/P (or equivalent) @ 0.3L/m² and PMB Class A35P to Austroads AGPT/T190.

ATTACHMENT 6.1B

Option 2 - SAMI Prime K2/P (or equivalent) @ 0.3L/m² and PMB Class A10E to Austroads AGPT/T190.

ATTACHMENT 6.1C

Option 3 - SAMI Prime K2 (or equivalent) @ 1.2L/m² and PMB Class A10E to Austroads AGPT/T190.

ATTACHMENT 6.1D

Based on the evaluation criteria on PMB asphalt it is recommended the contract be awarded to Boral Resources (WA) Ltd.

Consultation

Kim Neaylon – National Technical Leader – Surfacing (Pavements and Surfacing) ARRB Group
A/Chief Executive Officer
Executive Manager – Strategic and Economic Development
Capital Works Manager

Statutory Environment

Local Government Act 1995, S3.57 Tenders for providing goods or services
Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 – Part 4; Division 2; r 11(2b)

Financial Implications

The recommended contract amount exceeds the Project approved estimate (bituminous and asphalt component) of \$5,043,049.00 (Ex GST) however adoption of the recommended asphalt mix will improve the whole of life costs of the aerodrome runway assets.

Council endorsed the recommendations of the anticipated cost of salt mitigation measures at the Special Meeting of Council 21 March 2013, with the additional funding to undertake the revised runway works to be sought through negotiation with the Onslow Social Infrastructure Working Group (OSIWG). The matter has been discussed at recent OSIWG meetings resulting in the informal agreement of the additional costs, pending formal contractor proposals.

This formal process can now be undertaken given firm contractor rates.

Special Meeting of Council 1 May 2013

A 10% contingency should be delegated to the Chief Executive Officer for execution of the awarded contract for possible provisional items arising from:

- Standby due to dryback variances.
- Delays due to weather, pavement construction.
- Variation in binder quantities pending final mix design.
- Variance to application rates due to finished base course properties and conditions.
- Additional asphalt quantities due to variances in surface levels and textures.

Strategic Implications

Shire of Ashburton 10 Year Community Strategic Plan 2012-2022

Goal 04 – ‘Distinctive and Well Served Places’

Objective 01 – Quality Public Infrastructure

Objective 02 – Accessible and Safe Towns

Objective 03 – Well Planned Towns

Policy Implications

FIN04 - Buy Local – Regional Price Preference Policy

FIN12 – Purchasing and Tender Policy

FIN14 – Tender Assessment Criteria Policy

D001 – Delegation to CEO

Voting Requirement

Absolute Majority Required

Recommendation

That Council:

1. Award the Contract 04/13 Provision of Bituminous and Asphalt Supply and Services for Onslow Aerodrome and Associated Works to Boral Resources (WA) Ltd to a value not exceeding \$5,781,313.00 (excluding GST).
2. Authorise the Commissioner and Acting Chief Executive Officer to execute the relevant contract documentation and affix the Shire’s Common Seal to the contract.
3. Delegate a provisional contingency to the Acting Chief Executive Officer for the execution of the awarded contract to a value not exceeding \$578,130.00 (excluding GST).

Author: Fiona Keneally	Signature:
Manager: Frank Ludovico	Signature:

6.2 ENDORSEMENT OF THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MOU) BETWEEN THE SHIRE OF ASHBURTON AND ONSLOW SALT PTY LTD DEFINING LAND USE AND ASSET ACCESS ON ADJOINING AND OVERLAPPING LEASES / LAND TO FACILITATE THE DIVERSION OF THE ONSLOW TOWN WATER MAIN

FILE REFERENCE:

TR.AT.01.01

6.3 TENDER RFT 01/13 DEMOLITION OF BUILDINGS

FILE REFERENCE:	AS.TE.01.13
AUTHOR'S NAME AND POSITION:	Lisa Hannagan A/Executive Manager, Corporate Services
NAME OF APPLICANT/RESPONDENT:	Not Applicable
DATE REPORT WRITTEN:	26 April 2013
DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST:	The author has no financial interest in the proposal.
PREVIOUS MEETING REFERENCE:	Agenda Item 11.1 (Minute No. 11479) – Ordinary Meeting of Council 10 April 2013

Summary

The Onslow Administration/Library/Hall suffered catastrophic damage by fire on Sunday 13 January 2013.

GHD assessed the structural integrity of the building and determined that the building is irreparable. Quotations were called in February 2013 for the demolition of the building and the two quotations received were in excess of \$100,000.

Tender RFT01/13 was called on 30 March 2013 and closed on 19 April 2013. Five tenders were received by the Shire.

Background

The Onslow Administration/Library/Hall suffered catastrophic damage by fire on Sunday 13 January 2013.

GHD assessed the structural integrity of the building and determined that the building is irreparable. Quotations were called in February 2013 for the demolition of the building and the two quotations received were in excess of \$100,000.

The Onslow Administration/Library/Hall is currently an eyesore in Onslow. While the property has been made secure from a safety perspective, the look and smell of the building are detrimental to the amenity of Second Avenue and the site must be demolished and cleaned as a priority.

It is not known at this stage whether Council will determine to rebuild on the same location or build at another location. No recommendation has been made at this point in time. What is

Special Meeting of Council 1 May 2013

known is that the existing site must be cleared and left in a clean and secure state until such time as a recommendation is prepared and a decision made.

Comment

The Shire is fully insured by LGIS for the cost of demolition. An amount of up to \$470,000 (10% of the insured value of the building) is set aside for this purpose.

A summary of the Qualitative Selection Criteria evaluation is shown below and the full tender evaluation is attached in the Agenda Attachment document.

Tenderer	Archer Builders	Keystart Construction	NTC Contracting	McMahon Services	JBW Building Services
Relevant Experience (10%)	9/10	0/10	5/10	10/10	7/10
Key Personnel (10%)	9/10	0/10	5/10	10/10	7/10
Past Company Performance (10%)	9/10	0/10	5/10	10/10	7/10
Resources/Personnel (5%)	5/5	0/5	0/5	5/5	3/5
Plant, Equipment & Materials (5%)	5/5	0/5	5/5	5/5	3/5
Methodology & Risk Management (10%)	10/10	0/10	2/10	10/10	8/10
Quoted Price (ex GST)	\$208,788.80	\$226,930.00	\$237,699.50	\$236,375.00	\$448,854.00
Price (50%)	50/50	46/50	39/50	38/50	13/50
Total (100%)	93/100	46/100	61/100	88/100	48/100

The tender evaluation was conducted by:

Ross Smith, Technical Services Support Officer
 Brian Cameron, Building Services Manager
 Lisa Hannagan, A/Executive Manager Corporate Services

ATTACHMENT 6.3

Consultation

A/Chief Executive Officer
 A/Executive Manager, Technical Services
 Ross Smith, Engineering Technical Support Officer

Statutory Environment

Local Government Action 1995, s3.57 – Tenders for providing goods and services.

Financial Implications

The Shire of Ashburton is fully insured by LGIS for the demolition of the fire damaged property and there is no financial implication in relation to accepting the recommended tender.

Strategic Implications

Shire of Ashburton 10 Year Community Strategic Plan 2012-2022
 Goal 5 – Inspiring Governance

Special Meeting of Council 1 May 2013

Policy Implications

FIN14 - Tender Assessment Criteria

FIN04 Buy Local – Regional Price Preference Policy

Voting Requirement

Simple Majority Required

Recommendation

That Council:

1. Award Tender RFT01/13 Demolition of Buildings in Onslow to Archer Builders Pty Ltd for the sum of \$208,789.00 (inc GST); and
2. Authorise the Commissioner and Acting Chief Executive Officer to execute the relevant contract documentation and affix the Shire's Common Seal to the contract.

Author: Lisa Hannagan	Signature:
Manager: Frank Ludovico	Signature:

7. NEXT MEETING

The next Ordinary Meeting of Council will be held on 8 May 2013, at the Onslow Multi-Purpose Centre, Cnr McGrath Road and Hooley Avenue, Onslow, commencing at 1.00 pm.

8. CLOSURE OF MEETING

Evaluation for 04/13 Bituminous Surfacing and Asphalt Specification for Onslow Aerodrome and Associated Works

	Asphalt 3A / Prime Options			Asphalt 3B / Prime Options			Asphalt 3C / Prime Options		
	Combination 1A / 3A	Combination 1B / 3A	Combination 1C / 3A	Combination 1A / 3B	Combination 1B / 3B	Combination 1C / 3B	Combination 1A / 3C	Combination 1B / 3C	Combination 1C / 3C
	Prime - CRS 60 / Asphalt - A10E	Prime - SAMI Prime K2 / Asphalt - A10E	Prime - SAMI Prime K2/P Asphalt - A10E	Prime CRS 60 / Asphalt A35P	Prime SAMI Prime K2 / Asphalt A35P	Prime SAMI Prime K2/P / Asphalt A35P	Prime - CRS 60 / Asphalt - C320	Prime - SAMI Pime K2 / Asphalt - C320	Prime - SAMI Prime K2/P / Asphalt - C320
BORAL	\$ 5,315,990.25	\$ 5,351,449.95	\$ 5,196,547.05	\$ 5,900,756.25	\$ 5,936,215.95	\$ 5,781,313.05	\$ 5,721,962.25	\$ 5,757,421.95	\$ 5,602,771.05
DOWNER EDI	\$ 6,611,871.13	\$ 6,719,183.38	\$ 6,589,475.53	\$ 6,601,413.13	\$ 6,708,725.38	\$ 6,579,017.53	\$ 5,486,061.13	\$ 5,593,373.38	\$ 5,463,665.53
RNR CONTRACTING	\$ 7,320,269.85	\$ 7,392,122.40	\$ 7,274,545.50	\$ 7,320,269.85	\$ 7,392,122.40	\$ 7,274,545.50	\$ 7,002,749.85	\$ 7,074,602.40	\$ 6,957,025.50

BORAL RESOURCES (WA) LTD - PRICE SCENARIO

Item	Item Description	Approx Quantity	Unit	Prime 1A / Asphalt Options						Prime 1B / Asphalt Options						Prime 1C / Asphalt Options					
				Combination 1A / 3A		Combination 1A / 3B		Combination 1A / 3C		Combination 1B / 3A		Combination 1B / 3B		Combination 1B / 3C		Combination 1C / 3A		Combination 1C / 3B		Combination 1C / 3C	
				Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)
1	Prime																				
1A	Standard cationic rapid set 60%, CRS 60, at 1.0 L/m2 of product	93,315	m2	\$ 3.46	\$ 322,869.90	\$ 3.46	\$ 322,869.90	\$ 3.46	\$ 322,869.90												
1B	Emulsion prime for compacted stabilised bases, SAMIprime K2 (or equivalent), at 1.2 L/m2 of product	93,315	m2							\$ 3.84	\$ 358,329.60	\$ 3.84	\$ 358,329.60	\$ 3.84	\$ 358,329.60						
1C	Polymer modified emulsion prime, SAMIprime K2/P (or equivalent), at 0.3 L/m2 of product	93,315	m2													\$ 2.18	\$ 203,426.70	\$ 2.18	\$ 203,426.70	\$ 2.18	\$ 203,426.70
2	Waterproofing Seal																				
2A	Binder: Austroads S10E at 2.2 L/m2	93,315	m2	\$ 5.24	\$ 488,970.60	\$ 5.24	\$ 488,970.60	\$ 5.24	\$ 488,970.60	\$ 5.24	\$ 488,970.60	\$ 5.24	\$ 488,970.60	\$ 5.24	\$ 488,970.60	\$ 5.24	\$ 488,970.60	\$ 5.24	\$ 488,970.60	\$ 5.24	\$ 488,970.60
2B	Aggregate: size 14mm, spread rate 900/ALD m3/m2	93,315	m2	\$ 3.65	\$ 340,599.75	\$ 3.65	\$ 340,599.75	\$ 3.65	\$ 340,599.75	\$ 3.65	\$ 340,599.75	\$ 3.65	\$ 340,599.75	\$ 3.65	\$ 340,599.75	\$ 3.65	\$ 340,599.75	\$ 3.65	\$ 340,599.75	\$ 3.65	\$ 340,599.75
3	Asphalt - 14mm Airport Mix																				
3A	Polymer Modified Binder (PMB) Class A10E complying with the requirements of Austroads AGPT/T190	12,600	tonne	\$ 310.60	\$ 3,913,560.00					\$ 310.60	\$ 3,913,560.00					\$ 310.60	\$ 3,913,560.00				
3B	Polymer Modified Binder (PMB) Class A35P complying with the requirements of Austroads AGPT/T190	12,600	tonne			\$ 357.01	\$ 4,498,326.00					\$ 357.01	\$ 4,498,326.00					\$ 357.01	\$ 4,498,326.00		
3C	Class C320 bitumen complying with the requirements of AS2008	12,600	tonne					\$ 342.84	\$ 4,319,784.00					\$ 342.84	\$ 4,319,784.00					\$ 342.84	\$ 4,319,784.00
4	Mobilisation / Demobilisation	2	Site Visit	\$ 124,995.00	\$ 249,990.00	\$ 124,995.00	\$ 249,990.00	\$ 124,995.00	\$ 249,990.00	\$ 124,995.00	\$ 249,990.00	\$ 124,995.00	\$ 249,990.00	\$ 124,995.00	\$ 249,990.00	\$ 124,995.00	\$ 249,990.00	\$ 124,995.00	\$ 249,990.00	\$ 124,995.00	\$ 249,990.00
					\$ 5,315,990.25		\$ 5,900,756.25		\$ 5,722,214.25		\$ 5,351,449.95		\$ 5,936,215.95		\$ 5,757,673.95		\$ 5,196,547.05		\$ 5,781,313.05		\$ 5,602,771.05

STANDBY (Per Hour) \$1,343.10

DOWNER EDI - PRICE SCENARIO

Item	Item Description	Approx Quantity	Unit	Prime 1A / Asphalt Options						Prime 1B / Asphalt Options						Prime 1C / Asphalt Options					
				Combination 1A / 3A		Combination 1A / 3B		Combination 1A / 3C		Combination 1B / 3A		Combination 1B / 3B		Combination 1B / 3C		Combination 1C / 3A		Combination 1C / 3B		Combination 1C / 3C	
				Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)
1	Prime																				
1A	Standard cationic rapid set 60%, CRS 60, at 1.0 L/m2 of product	93,315	m2	\$ 2.56	\$ 238,886.40	\$ 2.56	\$ 238,886.40	\$ 2.56	\$ 238,886.40												
1B	Emulsion prime for compacted stabilised bases, SAMIprime K2 (or equivalent), at 1.2 L/m2 of product	93,315	m2							\$ 3.71	\$ 346,198.65	\$ 3.71	\$ 346,198.65	\$ 3.71	\$ 346,198.65						
1C	Polymer modified emulsion prime, SAMIprime K2/P (or equivalent), at 0.3 L/m2 of product	93,315	m2													\$ 2.32	\$ 216,490.80	\$ 2.32	\$ 216,490.80	\$ 2.32	\$ 216,490.80
2	Waterproofing Seal																				
2A	Binder: Austroads S10E at 2.2 L/m2	93,315	m2	\$ 5.47	\$ 510,433.05	\$ 5.47	\$ 510,433.05	\$ 5.47	\$ 510,433.05	\$ 5.47	\$ 510,433.05	\$ 5.47	\$ 510,433.05	\$ 5.47	\$ 510,433.05	\$ 5.47	\$ 510,433.05	\$ 5.47	\$ 510,433.05	\$ 5.47	\$ 510,433.05
2B	Aggregate: size 14mm, spread rate 900/ALD m3/m2	93,315	m2	\$ 2.59	\$ 241,685.85	\$ 2.59	\$ 241,685.85	\$ 2.59	\$ 241,685.85	\$ 2.59	\$ 241,685.85	\$ 2.59	\$ 241,685.85	\$ 2.59	\$ 241,685.85	\$ 2.59	\$ 241,685.85	\$ 2.59	\$ 241,685.85	\$ 2.59	\$ 241,685.85
3	Asphalt - 14mm Airport Mix																				
3A	Polymer Modified Binder (PMB) Class A10E complying with the requirements of Austroads AGPT/T190	12,600	tonne	\$ 413.03	\$ 5,204,178.00					\$ 413.03	\$ 5,204,178.00					\$ 413.03	\$ 5,204,178.00				
3B	Polymer Modified Binder (PMB) Class A35P complying with the requirements of Austroads AGPT/T190	12,600	tonne			\$ 412.20	\$ 5,193,720.00					\$ 412.20	\$ 5,193,720.00					\$ 412.20	\$ 5,193,720.00		
3C	Class C320 bitumen complying with the requirements of AS2008	12,600	tonne					\$ 323.68	\$ 4,078,368.00					\$ 323.68	\$ 4,078,368.00					\$ 323.68	\$ 4,078,368.00
4	Mobilisation / Demobilisation	2	Site Visit	\$ 186,522.04	\$ 373,044.08	\$ 186,522.04	\$ 373,044.08	\$ 186,522.04	\$ 373,044.08	\$ 186,522.04	\$ 373,044.08	\$ 186,522.04	\$ 373,044.08	\$ 186,522.04	\$ 373,044.08	\$ 186,522.04	\$ 373,044.08	\$ 186,522.04	\$ 373,044.08	\$ 186,522.04	\$ 373,044.08
	Polymer Blending Plant	1	Site Visit	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75	\$ 43,643.75
				\$ 6,611,871.13		\$ 6,601,413.13		\$ 5,486,061.13		\$ 6,719,183.38		\$ 6,708,725.38		\$ 5,593,373.38		\$ 6,589,475.53		\$ 6,579,017.53		\$ 5,463,665.53	

RNR CONTRACTING - PRICE SCENARIO

Item	Item Description	Approx Quantity	Unit	Prime 1A / Asphalt Options						Prime 1B / Asphalt Options						Prime 1C / Asphalt Options					
				Combination 1A / 3A		Combination 1A / 3B		Combination 1A / 3C		Combination 1B / 3A		Combination 1B / 3B		Combination 1B / 3C		Combination 1C / 3A		Combination 1C / 3B		Combination 1C / 3C	
				Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)	Rate (\$)	TOTAL (Ex GST) (\$)
1	Prime																				
1A	Standard cationic rapid set 60%, CRS 60, at 1.0 L/m2 of product	93,315	m2	\$ 1.45	\$ 135,306.75	\$ 1.45	\$ 135,306.75	\$ 1.45	\$ 135,306.75												
1B	Emulsion prime for compacted stabilised bases, SAMIprime K2 (or equivalent), at 1.2 L/m2 of product	93,315	m2							\$ 2.22	\$ 207,159.30	\$ 2.22	\$ 207,159.30	\$ 2.22	\$ 207,159.30						
1C	Polymer modified emulsion prime, SAMIprime K2/P (or equivalent), at 0.3 L/m2 of product	93,315	m2													\$ 0.96	\$ 89,582.40	\$ 0.96	\$ 89,582.40	\$ 0.96	\$ 89,582.40
2	Waterproofing Seal																				
2A	Binder: Austroads S10E at 2.2 L/m2	93,315	m2	\$ 4.03	\$ 376,059.45	\$ 4.03	\$ 376,059.45	\$ 4.03	\$ 376,059.45	\$ 4.03	\$ 376,059.45	\$ 4.03	\$ 376,059.45	\$ 4.03	\$ 376,059.45	\$ 4.03	\$ 376,059.45	\$ 4.03	\$ 376,059.45	\$ 4.03	\$ 376,059.45
2B	Aggregate: size 14mm, spread rate 900/ALD m3/m2	93,315	m2	\$ 3.11	\$ 290,209.65	\$ 3.11	\$ 290,209.65	\$ 3.11	\$ 290,209.65	\$ 3.11	\$ 290,209.65	\$ 3.11	\$ 290,209.65	\$ 3.11	\$ 290,209.65	\$ 3.11	\$ 290,209.65	\$ 3.11	\$ 290,209.65	\$ 3.11	\$ 290,209.65
3	Asphalt - 14mm Airport Mix																				
3A	Polymer Modified Binder (PMB) Class A10E complying with the requirements of Austroads AGPT/T190	12,600	tonne	\$ 490.69	\$ 6,182,694.00					\$ 490.69	\$ 6,182,694.00					\$ 490.69	\$ 6,182,694.00				
3B	Polymer Modified Binder (PMB) Class A35P complying with the requirements of Austroads AGPT/T190	12,600	tonne			\$ 490.69	\$ 6,182,694.00					\$ 490.69	\$ 6,182,694.00					\$ 490.69	\$ 6,182,694.00		
3C	Class C320 bitumen complying with the requirements of AS2008	12,600	tonne					\$ 465.49	\$ 5,865,174.00					\$ 465.49	\$ 5,865,174.00					\$ 465.49	\$ 5,865,174.00
4	Mobilisation / Demobilisation - Spray Seal Crew	2	Site Visit	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 66,000.00	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 66,000.00	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 66,000.00	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 66,000.00	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 66,000.00	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 66,000.00	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 66,000.00	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 66,000.00	\$ 33,000.00	\$ 66,000.00
	Mobilisation / Demobilisation - Asphalt Crew	1	Site Visit	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00	\$ 270,000.00
				\$ 7,320,269.85		\$ 7,320,269.85		\$ 7,002,749.85		\$ 7,392,122.40		\$ 7,392,122.40		\$ 7,074,602.40		\$ 7,274,545.50		\$ 7,274,545.50		\$ 6,957,025.50	

ATTACHMENT 6.1A

	Asphalt 3A / Prime Options			Asphalt 3B / Prime Options			Asphalt 3C / Prime Options		
	Combination 1A / 3A	Combination 1B / 3A	Combination 1C / 3A	Combination 1A / 3B	Combination 1B / 3B	Combination 1C / 3B	Combination 1A / 3C	Combination 1B / 3C	Combination 1C / 3C
	Prime - CRS 60 / Asphalt - A10E	Prime - SAMI Prime K2 / Asphalt - A10E	Prime - SAMI Prime K2/P Asphalt - A10E	Prime CRS 60 / Asphalt A35P	Prime SAMI Prime K2 / Asphalt A35P	Prime SAMI Prime K2/P / Asphalt A35P	Prime - CRS 60 / Asphalt - C320	Prime - SAMI Prime K2 / Asphalt - C320	Prime - SAMI Prime K2/P / Asphalt - C320
BORAL	\$ 5,315,990.25	\$ 5,351,449.95	\$ 5,196,547.05	\$ 5,900,756.25	\$ 5,936,215.95	\$ 5,781,313.05	\$ 5,721,962.25	\$ 5,757,421.95	\$ 5,602,771.05
DOWNER EDI	\$ 6,611,871.13	\$ 6,719,183.38	\$ 6,589,475.53	\$ 6,601,413.13	\$ 6,708,725.38	\$ 6,579,017.53	\$ 5,486,061.13	\$ 5,593,373.38	\$ 5,463,665.53
RNR CONTRACTING	\$ 7,320,269.85	\$ 7,392,122.40	\$ 7,274,545.50	\$ 7,320,269.85	\$ 7,392,122.40	\$ 7,274,545.50	\$ 7,002,749.85	\$ 7,074,602.40	\$ 6,957,025.50

1	<u>Prime</u>
1A	Standard cationic rapid set 60%, CRS 60, at 1.0 L/m2 of product
1B	Emulsion prime for compacted stabilised bases, SAMIprime K2 (or equivalent), at 1.2 L/m2 of product
1C	Polymer modified emulsion prime, SAMIprime K2/P (or equivalent), at 0.3 L/m2 of product
3	<u>Asphalt - 14mm Airport Mix</u>
3A	Polymer Modified Binder (PMB) Class A10E complying with the requirements of Austroads AGPT/T190
3B	Polymer Modified Binder (PMB) Class A35P complying with the requirements of Austroads AGPT/T190
3C	Class C320 bitumen complying with the requirements of AS2008

Evaluation for 04/13 Bituminous Surfacing and Asphalt Specification for Onslow Aerodrome and Associated Works

Option 1 (ARRB Preferred) - SAMI Prime K2/P (or equivalent) @ 0.3L/m2 and PMB Class A35P to Austroads AGPT/T190

Relevant Experience	Demonstration of ability to meet required timeframes	Demonstration of ability to meet required specification	Occupational Health Safety Management	Tendered Price
10%	20%	30%	10%	30%
Demonstration of experience in completing / supplying similar requirements, particularly in relation to experience with supplying to State and/or Local Government	Indication of how timeframes will be met. Outline of availability of plant and personnel and future known commitments	Provision of compliant asphalt design mix; provisions of NATA Testing Certificates demonstrating compliance with specification from proposed mix sources	Provision of an overview of the status of Respondent's safety management system	The tendered price(s) will be considered along with related factors affecting the total cost to the Principal. Early settlement discounts, lifetime costs, Principal's contract management costs

Tenderer	Lump sum price	% on Budget	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	TOTAL weighted score	RANK
Downer	\$6,579,017.00	17%	9	9.0	10	20.0	9	27.0	8	8.0	8.70	26.1	90.1	2
Boral	\$5,781,313.00	3%	9	9.0	10	20.0	9	27.0	8	8.0	10.00	30.0	94.0	1
RnR	\$7,274,545.00	30%	8	8.0	9.5	19.0	9	27.0	8	8.0	7.90	23.7	85.7	3

Nominated budget for assessment \$5,613,050.00

Evaluation for 04/13 Bituminous Surfacing and Asphalt Specification for Onslow Aerodrome and Associated Works

Option 2 - SAMI Prime K2/P (or equivalent) @ 0.3L/m2 and PMB Class A10E to Austroads AGPT/T190

Relevant Experience	Demonstration of ability to meet required timeframes	Demonstration of ability to meet required specification	Occupational Health Safety Management	Tendered Price
10%	20%	30%	10%	30%
Demonstration of experience in completing / supplying similar requirements, particularly in relation to experience with supplying to State and/or Local Government	Indication of how timeframes will be met. Outline of availability of plant and personnel and future known commitments	Provision of compliant asphalt design mix; provisions of NATA Testing Certificates demonstrating compliance with specification from proposed mix sources	Provision of an overview of the status of Respondent's safety management system	The tendered price(s) will be considered along with related factors affecting the total cost to the Principal. Early settlement discounts, lifetime costs, Principal's contract management costs

Tenderer	Lump sum price	% on Budget	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	TOTAL weighted score	RANK
Downer	\$6,589,475.53	17%	9	9.0	10	20.0	9	27.0	8	8.0	7.8	23.4	87.4	2
Boral	\$5,196,547.05	-7%	9	9.0	10	20.0	9	27.0	8	8.0	10	30.0	94.0	1
RnR	\$7,274,545.50	30%	8	8.0	9.5	19.0	9	27.0	8	8.0	7.1	21.3	83.3	3

Nominated budget for assessment \$5,613,050.00

Evaluation for 04/13 Bituminous Surfacing and Asphalt Specification for Onslow Aerodrome and Associated Works

Option 3 - SAMI Prime K2 (or equivalent) @ 1.2L/m2 and PMB Class A10E to Austroads AGPT/T190

Relevant Experience	Demonstration of ability to meet required timeframes	Demonstration of ability to meet required specification	Occupational Health Safety Management	Tendered Price
10%	20%	30%	10%	30%
Demonstration of experience in completing / supplying similar requirements, particularly in relation to experience with supplying to State and/or Local Government	Indication of how timeframes will be met. Outline of availability of plant and personnel and future known commitments	Provision of compliant asphalt design mix; provisions of NATA Testing Certificates demonstrating compliance with specification from proposed mix sources	Provision of an overview of the status of Respondent's safety management system	The tendered price(s) will be considered along with related factors affecting the total cost to the Principal. Early settlement discounts, lifetime costs, Principal's contract management costs

Tenderer	Lump sum price	% on Budget	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	TOTAL weighted score	RANK
Downer	\$6,589,475.53	17%	9	9.0	10	20.0	9	27.0	8	8.0	8.0	23.9	87.9	2
Boral	\$5,196,547.05	-7%	9	9.0	10	20.0	9	27.0	8	8.0	10	30.0	94.0	1
RnR	\$7,274,545.50	30%	8	8.0	9.5	19.0	9	27.0	8	8.0	7.1	21.4	83.4	3

Nominated budget for assessment \$5,613,050.00

TENDER ASSESSMENT MATRIX for RFT 01/13 Demolition of Buildings and Salvage of Materials in Onslow

POLICY No FIN 14

PART 6

6.1 ORGANISATION PROFILE AND REFEREES

Tenderer-	1. Archer Builders	2. Keystart Construction	3.NTC Contracting	4. McMahon Services	5. JBW Building Services	6.
Attach your organisation profile and label it "Attachment 1".	Attachment 1 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 1 Nothing attached Tick✓if attached	Attachment 1 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 1 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 1 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 1 □ Tick✓if attached
If companies are involved, attach their current ASC company extracts search including latest annual return and label it "Attachment 2".	Attachment 2 □ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 2 □ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 2 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 2 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 2 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 2 □ Tick✓if attached
Attach details of your referees, and label it "Attachment 3". You should give examples of work provided for your referees where possible.	Attachment 3 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 3 Nothing attached □ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 3 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 3 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 3 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 3 □ Tick✓if attached

Tender Assessment Matrix

6.1.1 AGENTS

Tenderer-	1. Archer Builders	2. Keystart Construction	3.NTC Contracting	4. McMahon Services	5. JBW Building Services	6.
Are you acting as an agent for another party?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
If Yes, attach details (including name and address) of your principal and label it "Attachment 4".	Attachment 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached	Attachment 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached	Attachment 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached	Attachment 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached	Attachment 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached	Attachment 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached

6.1.2 SUB-CONTRACTORS

Do you intend to subcontract any of the Requirements?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Machine hire No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes✓Machine hire No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes✓Machine hire No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes✓Machine hire No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
If Yes, attach details of the subcontractor(s) including the name, address, location of premise and the number of people employed and label it "Attachment 5".	Attachment 5 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Not attached Tick✓if attached	Attachment 5 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached	Attachment 5 ✓ Tick✓if attached	Attachment 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached

6.1.3

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Tenderer-	1 Archer Builders	2 Keystart Construction	3.NTC Contracting	4. McMahon Services	5. JBW Building Services	6
Will any actual or potential conflict of interest in the performance of your obligations under the Contract exist if you are awarded the Contract, or is any such conflict of interest likely to arise during the Contract?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
If Yes, please supply in an attachment details of any actual or potential conflict of interest and the way in which any conflict will be dealt with and label it "Attachment 6".	Attachment 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached	Attachment 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached	Attachment 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached	Attachment 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached	Attachment 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached	Attachment 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached

6.1.4

INSURANCE COVERAGE

Tenderer-	1. Archer Builders	2. Keystart Construction	3.NTC Contracting	4. McMahon Services	5. JBW Building Services	6.
<p>The insurance requirements for this Request are stipulated at Clause 16, 17 & 18. Tenderers are to supply evidence of their insurance coverage in a format as outlined below in “Attachment 7”. A copy of the Certificate of Currency is to be provided to the Principal within 14 days of acceptance.</p>	<p>Attachment 7 ✓ Tick✓if attached</p>	<p>Attachment 7 <input type="checkbox"/>Not attached Tick✓if attached</p>	<p>Attachment 7 ✓ Tick✓if attached</p>	<p>Attachment 7 ✓ Tick✓if attached</p>	<p>Attachment 7 ✓Does not comply with requirements Tick✓if attached</p>	<p>Attachment 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Tick✓if attached</p>

6.1.4 INSURANCE COVERAGE CONT'D

(One Table for each of the Tenderers)

Tenderer 1. Archer Builders				
<i>Type</i>	<i>Insurer – Broker</i>	<i>Policy Number</i>	<i>Value (\$)</i>	<i>Expiry Date</i>
<i>Public Liability</i>	CGU	0100284751	\$10 MIL	31/7/13
<i>Third Party Property damage</i>	CGU	0100284751	\$10 MIL	31/7/13
<i>Workers' Compensation</i>	QBE	Pe1848645GWC	\$50 MIL	31/7/13
Tenderer 2. Keystart Constructions				
<i>Type</i>	<i>Insurer – Broker</i>	<i>Policy Number</i>	<i>Value (\$)</i>	<i>Expiry Date</i>
<i>Public Liability</i>	Not attached			
<i>Third Party Property damage</i>				
<i>Workers' Compensation</i>				
Tenderer 3. NTC Contracting				
<i>Type</i>	<i>Insurer – Broker</i>	<i>Policy Number</i>	<i>Value (\$)</i>	<i>Expiry Date</i>
<i>Public Liability</i>	Chartis Australia	300013491	\$20 mil	30/5/13
<i>Third Party Property damage</i>				
<i>Workers' Compensation</i>	CGU	O/00-3941	\$50 mil	30/5/13

Tender Assessment Matrix

Tenderer 4. McMahon Services				
<i>Type</i>	<i>Insurer – Broker</i>	<i>Policy Number</i>	<i>Value (\$)</i>	<i>Expiry Date</i>
<i>Public Liability</i>	Provided in company profile			
<i>Third Party Property damage</i>				
Workers' Compensation	Provided in company profile			
Tenderer 5. JBW Building Services Note: Insurances only in the name of one Partner, Cheela Plains				
<i>Type</i>	<i>Insurer – Broker</i>	<i>Policy Number</i>	<i>Value (\$)</i>	<i>Expiry Date</i>
<i>Public Liability</i>	Provided with tender for one partner only and it is Farm Insurance extended to earthmoving and road grading Not acceptable			
<i>Third Party Property damage</i>				
Workers' Compensation				
Tenderer 6.				

Tender Assessment Matrix

<i>Type</i>	<i>Insurer – Broker</i>	<i>Policy Number</i>	<i>Value (\$)</i>	<i>Expiry Date</i>
<i>Public Liability</i>				
<i>Third Party Property damage</i>				
<i>Workers’ Compensation</i>				

6.2 RESPONSE TO SELECTION CRITERIA

6.2.1 COMPLIANCE CRITERIA

Tenderer Name→	1. Archer Builders	2. Keystart Construction	3.NTC Contracting	4. McMahon Services	5. JBW Building Services	6
Have you complied with the Specification contained in this Request?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Have you complied with the Conditions of Tendering contained in this Request?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inadequate insurance	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

The compliance criteria in Section 6.2.1 will not be point scored. Each Tender will be assessed on a Yes/No basis as to whether the criterion is satisfactorily met. An assessment of “No” against any criterion may eliminate the Tender from consideration.

6.2.2 QUALITATIVE CRITERIA

Before answering the qualitative criteria, Tenderers shall note the following:

- 1 All information relevant to your answers should be contained within your Tender to each criterion;
- 2 Tenderers shall assume that the Evaluation Panel has **no** previous knowledge of your organisation, its activities or experience;
- 3 Tenderers shall provide full details for any claims, statements or examples used to address the qualitative criteria; and
- 4 Tenderers shall address each issue outlined within a qualitative criterion.

6.2.3 TENDER ASSESSMENT

Tenders will be assessed using the weightings listed in the following table;

Qualitative Selection Criteria

Tenderer Name→	1. Archer Builders	2. Keystart Construction	3.NTC Contracting	4. McMahon Services	5. JBW Building Services	6.
Relevant Experience 10%	9/10	0/10	5/10	10/10	7/10	/10
<p>Demonstrate experience and skill in all aspects of projects of a similar nature displaying high quality outcomes, with emphasis on provision of similar facilities and construction practices as detailed in the specification.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provide details of each project including relevant details, project budget and facilities provided; ▪ Include photo records and location details of each project; and ▪ Provide the scope of the tenderer’s involvement including details of 		<p>Not supplied</p>	<p>Did not demonstrate or provide evidence of past asbestos removal or mention asbestos removal in their tender</p>			

Tender Assessment Matrix

outcomes.						
Key Personnel 10%	9/10	0/10	5/10	10/10	7/10	/10
<p>Demonstrate key personnel’s experience in completing similar projects and their skills and experience to be used on this service, including as a minimum:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Their role in the performance of the Contract; ▪ Qualifications, with emphasis on the experience of personnel in projects of a similar requirement including references; and ▪ Any additional information. 		Not supplied	No reference to licenced asbestos removal training or accreditation			
Past Company Performances 10%	9/10	0/10	5/10	10/10	7/10	/10
<p>The track record of the company or individuals:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Demonstrated evidence of the quality of past work within the industry; and 		Not supplied				

Tender Assessment Matrix

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Demonstrated evidence of competency, co-operation and sound judgement in past projects. 						
Tenderer Name→	1. Archer Builders	2. Keystart Construction	3.NTC Contracting	4.McMahon Services	5. JBW Building Services	6.
Resources/ Personnel 5%	5/5	0/5	0/5	5/5	3/5	/5
<p>Demonstrate the ability to supply and sustain the necessary resources, including:</p> <p>A list of all subcontractors to be used in this project, their role in this project and their level of skills and experience and qualifications of key personnel, with emphasis on the experience of personnel in projects of a similar requirement including references.</p>		Not supplied	Did not list any staff with asbestos removal training or certificates			
Plant, Equipment and Materials 5%	5/5	0/5	5/5	5/5	3/5	/5
Any contingency measures or back-up of		Not supplied				

Tender Assessment Matrix

resources; Supply of a provisional construction program demonstrating compliance with the requirements of the Contract (where applicable); and						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any other information 						

Tenderer Name→	1. Archer Builders	2. Keystart Construction	3.NTC Contracting	4. McMahon Services	5. JBW Building Services	6.
Methodology/Quality and OHS Systems/Life Cycle/Risk Management 10%	10/10	0/10	2/10	10/10	8/10	/10
Provide an overview of the methodology and approach to carrying out the works by providing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A construction program demonstrating how the contract timeframe will be 		Not supplied	Noted program to be provided before work starts Methodology did not make collapsed roof safe before recovering flooring			

Tender Assessment Matrix

<p>met (where applicable), including key performance indicators;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Reporting and recording systems to be used; ▪ A brief written construction methodology; ▪ A summary of the key risks that may impact on how the works are delivered; and how these risks will be managed; ▪ Warranties and guarantees provided; and ▪ Any other information. 						
---	--	--	--	--	--	--

Totals	47	0	22	50	35	
---------------	-----------	----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	--

Tenderer Name→	1.Archer Builders	2.Keystart Construction	3.NTC Contracting	4.McMahon Services	5.JBW Building Services	6.
		189809-16491/189809x50%	189809-42890.5/189809x50%	189809-46566/189809x50%	189809-(-254045)/189809x50%	
Price 50%	Lowest 50%	46%	39%	38%	13%	

Tender Assessment Matrix

The total price of the infrastructure including all delivery and other costs, ex GST	\$189,809.00	\$206,300.00 1. Did not price demolition of Garage at other site 2. Did not include temporary fencing 3. Did not include disconnection of water, power, sewer and telephone lines 4. Did not include footpath and flagpoles	\$ 232,699.50 Local policy discounted price	\$236,375.00 Contained qualification \$18,000 or portion MAY be handed back to Shire determined by extent of engineering and propping used	\$443,854.00 Local policy discounted price	
Total 100%	93/100	46/100	61/100	88/100	/100	/100
Licences	Licenced Demolition Contractors No WAD 0031 Restricted Asbestos Licence WARA 553			Asbestos disposed at Roeburn		Asbestos licence WARA937

Tender Assessment Matrix

--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Buy Local Policy applies to

NTC Contracting, PO Box 68 Onslow	\$237,699.50 ex GST – \$5,000	\$232,699.50 ex GST	
JBW Building Services, PO Box 245, Paraburdoo.	\$448,854.00 ex GST - \$5,000	\$443,854.00 ex GST	

Archer and McMahon selected for further consideration

Comparison between Archer and McMahon(with the **potential** \$18,000.00 discount)

Archer	McMahon	
\$189,809.00	\$236,375.00 – 18,000.00 = \$218,000.00 189809-28191/189809x50% =	
50 %	43%	
93 %	93%	

Sight Asbestos removal registration

Sight Demolition Contractors registration

Tender Assessment Matrix

Where is asbestos going to