

# Shire of Ashburton

# **Ordinary Council Meeting**

# Agenda

(Public)

# Clem Thompson Sports Pavilion, Stadium Road,

# **Tom Price**

12 April 2022

1:00pm



Shire of Ashburton Ordinary Council Meeting

Please be advised an Ordinary Council Meeting will be held at 1:00pm on Tuesday 12 April 2022 at Clem Thompson Sports Pavilion, Stadium Road, Tom Price.

K Donohoe Chief Executive Officer 7 April 2022

#### Disclaimer

The Shire of Ashburton warns anyone who has an application lodged with Council must obtain, and should only rely on, written confirmation of the outcomes of the application following the Council meeting, and any conditions attaching to the decision made by Council in respect of the application. No responsibility whatsoever is implied, or accepted, by the Shire of Ashburton for any act, omission, statement, or intimation occurring during a Council meeting.

# Agenda – Public Ordinary Council Meeting 12 April 2022

## Contents

1	Declaration of opening				
	1.1	Acknowledgement of country	5		
2	Annour	ncement of visitors	5		
3	Attendance5				
	3.1 3.2 3.3	Present Apologies Approved leave of absence	6		
4	Questic	on time	6		
	4.1 4.2	Response to previous questions taken on notice Public question time			
5	Applica	tions for leave of absence	6		
6	Declaration by members6				
	6.1 6.2	Due consideration by Councillors to the agenda Declarations of interest			
7	Announcements by the Presiding Member and Councillors without discussion8				
8	Petitions / Deputations / Presentations				
	8.1 8.2 8.3	Petitions Deputations Presentations	8		
9	Confirmation of minutes				
	9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4	Confirmation of Council meetings Receipt of committee and other minutes Recommendations of committee meetings – En bloc Recommendations of committees	9 9		
10	En bloc	resolutions	9		
	10.1	Agenda items adopted en bloc	9		
11	Office of the Chief Executive Officer reports 10				

# Agenda – Public Ordinary Council Meeting 12 April 2022

	11.1	RFT 01.22 - Design and Construction of Two (2) New Residences, Tom Price				
12	Corpo	ate Services reports				
	12.1 12.2 12.3	Monthly Schedule of Accounts Paid17Monthly Financial Statements - February 202221Review of Delegations26				
13	People	and Place reports				
14	Infrast	ructure Services reports				
	14.1 14.2	Application by RFF Australia to add Beadon Creek Road, Onslow, to Restricted Access Vehicle Network				
15	Projec	Projects and Procurement reports				
	15.1	Request For Tender (RFT) 02.22 – Design and Construction of Tom Price and Paraburdoo Multipurpose Courts, WA				
16	Councillor agenda items / notices of motions52					
17	New business of an urgent nature introduced by Council decision					
18 Confidential matters						
	18.1 18.2	Property Purchase – Tom Price				
19	Next meeting55					
20	Closur	e of meeting				

# 1 Declaration of opening

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at x:xxpm.

## 1.1 Acknowledgement of country

As representatives of the Shire of Ashburton Council, we respectfully acknowledge the local Indigenous people, the traditional custodians of this land where we are meeting upon today and pay our respects to them and their elders past, present and emerging.

# 2 Announcement of visitors

To be informed at the meeting.

# 3 Attendance

## 3.1 Present

Elected members	
Cr K White	Shire President (Presiding Member) Onslow Ward
Cr M Lynch	Deputy Shire President, Tom Price Ward
Cr A Smith	Tom Price Ward
Cr L Rumble	Paraburdoo Ward
Cr A Sullivan	Paraburdoo Ward
Cr R de Pledge	Ashburton Ward
Cr J Richardson	Tableland Ward
Employees	
K Donohoe	Chief Executive Officer
N Cain	Director Corporate Services
T Matson	Director People and Place
M Fanning	Director Infrastructure Services
C McGurk	Director Projects and Procurement
A Lennon	Manager Media and Communications
A Furfaro	Governance Officer
N Jeffrey	Executive Assistant Corporate Services

## <u>Guests</u>

To be informed at the meeting.

<u>Members of the public</u> To be informed at the meeting.

## Members of the media

To be informed at the meeting.

## 3.2 Apologies

Cr T Mladenovic Tom Price Ward

## 3.3 Approved leave of absence

Cr M Gallanagh Pannawonica Ward 12 April 2022

## 4 Question time

4.1 Response to previous questions taken on notice

Nil

## 4.2 Public question time

To be informed at the meeting.

# 5 Applications for leave of absence

Nil

## 6 Declaration by members

## 6.1 Due consideration by Councillors to the agenda

Councillors will be requested to note they have given due consideration to all matters contained in this agenda.

## 6.2 Declarations of interest

A member who has an Impartiality, Proximity or Financial interest in any matter to be discussed at this meeting must disclose the nature of the interest either in a written notice, given to the Chief Executive Officer, prior to the meeting, or at the meeting immediately before the matter is discussed.

A member who makes a disclosure in respect to an interest must not preside at the part of the meeting which deals with the matter, or participate in, or be present during any discussion or decision-making process relative to the matter, unless the disclosing member is permitted to do so under Section 5.68 or Section 5.69 of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

#### 11.1 - RFT 01.22 - Design & Construction of 2 New Residences, Tom Price

Declarant	Cr M Lynch
Declaration of Interest	Impartiality – My wife, Renae Lynch, as the Senior Procurement Officer, is an Evaluation Panel Member for this agenda item. It could be perceived that I have an Impartiality Interest.

## <u>15.1 – Request For Tender (RFT) 02.22 – Design and Construction of Tom Price and</u> Paraburdoo Multipurpose Courts, WA

Declarant Declaration of Interest	Cr M Lynch Impartiality – My wife, Renae Lynch, as the Senior Procurement Officer, is an Evaluation Panel Member for this agenda item. It could be perceived that I may be impartial.
Declarant	Cr M Lynch
Declaration of Interest	Indirect financial – I am an employee and tenant of Rio Tinto. I am paid a salary by Pilbara Iron.
Declarant	Cr L Rumble
Declaration of Interest	Financial – Share holder of Rio Tinto with shares greater than the value of \$10,000.
Declarant	Cr A Smith
Declaration of Interest	Indirect financial – My husband is an employee with Rio Tinto with shares greater than the value of \$10, 000. My husband's employment with Rio Tinto provides him with subsidized housing and utilities in which I reside too.

Declarant Cr A Sullivan Declaration of Interest Financial – I and my husband hold shares above the prescribed amount. I and my husband live in subsidised housing provided by Rio Tinto. I and my husband are employees of Rio Tinto. I and my husband own shares greater than the value of \$10,000.

# 7 Announcements by the Presiding Member and Councillors without discussion

To be advised at the meeting.

# 8 **Petitions / Deputations / Presentations**

## 8.1 Petitions

To be advised at the meeting.

## 8.2 Deputations

To be advised at the meeting.

## 8.3 Presentations

To be advised at the meeting.

# 9 Confirmation of minutes

## 9.1 Confirmation of Council meetings

That with respect to the confirmation of minutes, Council confirms the following, as attached –

- Ordinary Council Meeting 8 March 2022
- Special Council Meeting 3 March 2022

Attachment 9.1A Attachment 9.1B

## 9.2 Receipt of committee and other minutes

Nil

## 9.3 Recommendations of committee meetings – En bloc

To be advised at the meeting.

## 9.4 Recommendations of committees

To be advised at the meeting.

## **10** En bloc resolutions

## 10.1 Agenda items adopted en bloc

To be advised at the meeting.

## **11** Office of the Chief Executive Officer reports

11.1 RFT 01.22 - Design and Construction of Two (2) New Residences, Tom Price

File reference	CM01.22
Author's name Author's position Author's interest	R Wright Manager Land and Asset Compliance Nil
Authorising officer's name Authorising officer's position Authorising officer's interest	K Donohoe Chief Executive Officer Nil
Name of applicant / respondent	Not applicable
Date report written	24 March 2022
Previous meeting reference	Not applicable

#### Summary

Council has included in the 2021-2022 Annual Budget a provision for staff housing which includes new builds and, accordingly, a Request for Tender (RFT) was advertised inviting submissions for the construction of two (2) new residences in Tom Price.

Four (4) tenders, one (1) conforming and three (3) non-conforming, were received in response to RFT 01.22 - Design and Construction of Two New Residences, Tom Price. The evaluation panel has completed the assessment of the one conforming submission and provided a recommendation.

Council is requested to reject all offers as it has determined no offers received demonstrated value for money to the Shire.

## Confidentiality

An attachment to this report is confidential in accordance with section 5.23 (2) of the *Local Government Act 1995* because it deals with –

- (c) "a contract entered into, or which may be entered into, by the local government and which relates to a matter to be discussed at the meeting."
- (e) "Information that has a commercial value to a person"

## Background

Request for Tender (RFT) 01.22 - Design and Construction of Two (2) New Residences, Tom Price, was issued via the Shire's e-tendering portal (Tenderlink) on Wednesday 26 January 2022 and closed on Thursday 24 February at 2:00 pm.

The tender was advertised via state-wide public notice in *The West Australian* newspaper.

The tender brief is as follows:

The Shire of Ashburton seeks to engage a suitably qualified and experienced Contractor for the design, documentation, and construction of two (2), four (4) bedroom houses on residential land owned by the Shire of Ashburton at 76 Marrinup Way and 61 Pine Street, Tom Price, WA. The existing structures at 61 Pine Street will require demolition by the successful Tenderer prior to commencement of the new Works. The primary objective is the provision of quality accommodation, to facilitate the attraction and retention of suitably skilled Shire staff. The attached reference design documentation shows the Principal's minimum requirements for the new residences.

*In light of budget constraints, two tender price submissions have been requested for the Principal to select the preferred option:* 

- Price Option 1: New residence to Lot 76 Marrinup Way, Tom Price, WA
- Price Option 2: Separable portion 1- New residence to Lot 76 Marrinup Way, Tom Price, WA
- Separable portion 2- Demolition and New residence to Lot 61 Pine St, Tom Price, WA

The successful Tenderer shall provide all necessary services including design documents, materials, products, labour, plant and equipment for its design, management, construction and completion of the whole of the work under the Contract in compliance with the National Construction Codes (NCC), Building Code of Australia (BCA) 2019 Volume 1 Amendment 1, National Quality Framework and all relevant Australian Standards, Codes of Practice, Western Australia and Commonwealth legislation, statutes, ordinances, rules and regulations bearing on the provision of such services and conduct of the work under the Contract. A full disclosure of the required services and work under the Contract is stated and described in this Request for Tender and the Principal's Project Requirements.

## Comment

The Qualitative Criteria was approved prior to the advertisement of the RFT. Each Criteria was given the following weightings and included within the RFT document.

Crite	Weighting	
(a)	Relevant Experience and Past Company Performance	20%
(b)	Key Personnel	15%
(d)	Resources, Plant and Equipment	10%
(a)	Methodology/Programme/Quality and OSH/Ris	sk 15%
(e)	Management	15%
(f)	Price	40%

Each Panel Member individually assessed the Qualitative Criteria responses of the one conforming submission.

The submission was assessed against the Qualitative Criteria and each Criterion was given a score in accordance with the Evaluation Guide contained within the Evaluation Spreadsheets.

A rating scale of zero-to-ten (0 - 10) was used for evaluating the Tender submissions in accordance with the Qualitative Criteria, with 0 being lowest and 10 being highest.

The evaluation and recommendation report, including the overall evaluation scores and rankings, is attached.

## **Confidential Attachment 11.1A**

The offers submitted from Neowest Building Co Pty Ltd, Modularis Pty Ltd T/A Modular WA and Pacesetter were deemed non-conforming with the scope and specification and, therefore, their submissions were not evaluated.

The evaluation of the remaining submission received against the quantitative and qualitative criteria resulted in the rankings (in order of priority) as shown below –

Ranking	Tenderer
1	Karalyura Contracting

The offer from Karalyura Contracting materially exceeded the approved budget for the

works and, as such, was not considered value for money to the Shire. It should be noted all submissions stated the construction would take in excess of twelve (12) months, this is of further concern to Shire officers as staff housing in Tom Price is required in the short term.

## Consultation

Chief Executive Officer Team Assessment Panel Procurement Department

## Statutory environment

Local Government Act 1995

Section 3.57. Tenders for providing goods or services

A local government is required to invite tenders before it enters a contract of a prescribed kind under which another person is to supply goods or services.

Local Government (Functions and General) Regulation 1996

11. When tenders must be publicly invited

(1) Tenders are to be publicly invited according to the requirements of this Division before a local government enters a contract for another person to supply goods or services if the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, more, or worth more, than \$250 000 unless sub regulation (2) states otherwise.

(2) Tenders do not have to be publicly invited according to the requirements of this Division if —

(a) the supply of the goods or services is to be obtained from expenditure authorised in an emergency under section 6.8(1)(c) of the Act; or

(aa) the supply of the goods or services is associated with a state of emergency; or

(b) the supply of the goods or services is to be obtained through the WALGA Preferred Supplier Program; or

(c) within the last 6 months

(i) the local government has, according to the requirements of this Division, publicly invited tenders for the supply of the goods or services but no tender was submitted that met the tender specifications or satisfied the value for money assessment; or

(ii) the local government has, under regulation 21(1), sought expressions of interest with respect to the supply of the goods or services but no person was, as a result, listed as an acceptable tenderer. Local Government (Functions and General) Regulation 1996

18. Rejecting and accepting tenders

- (1) A tender is required to be rejected unless it is submitted at a place, and within the time, specified in the invitation for tenders.
- (2) A tender that is submitted at a place, and within the time, specified in the invitation for tenders but that fails to comply with any other requirement specified in the invitation may be rejected without considering the merits of the tender.
- (3) If, under regulation 23(4), the CEO has prepared a list of acceptable tenderers for the supply of goods or services, a tender submitted by a person who is not listed as an acceptable tenderer is to be rejected.
- (4) Tenders that have not been rejected under subregulation (1), (2), or (3) are to be assessed by the local government by means of a written evaluation of the extent to which each tender satisfies the criteria for deciding which tender to accept and it is to decide which of them (if any) it thinks it would be most advantageous to the local government to accept.
- (4a) To assist the local government in deciding which tender would be the most advantageous to it to accept, a tenderer may be requested to clarify the information provided in the tender.
- (5) The local government may decline to accept any tender.
- (6) If a local government has accepted a tender but acceptance of the tender does not create a contract and within 6 months of the day on which the tender was accepted the local government and the successful tenderer agree not to enter into a contract in relation to the tender, the local government may accept from the other tenders the tender which it thinks it would be most advantageous to the local government to accept.
- (7) If a local government has accepted a tender and acceptance of the tender creates a contract and within 6 months of the day on which the tender was accepted the local government and the successful tenderer agree to terminate the contract, the local government may accept from the other tenders the tender which it thinks it would be most advantageous to the local government to accept.

## **Financial implications**

The 2021-2022 Annual Budget provision is \$3,000,000 with a remaining amount of \$1,365,736.

All offers submitted were not considered value for money to the Shire for the construction of two (2) staff houses.

#### Strategic implications

Shire of Ashburton Strategic Community Plan 2022-2032

Strategic Objective	Place - We will provide sustainable, purposeful, and
valued built	and natural environment opportunities for the
	community
Strategic Outcome	Appropriate, inviting, and diverse employee
	accommodation and land management opportunities
Strategy	Provide diversity for residential employee
	accommodation

#### Risk management

Risk has been assessed based on the Officer Recommendation.

	Risk	Risk Impact /	Risk	Principal	Risk Action
Risk	Likelihood	Consequence	Rating	Risk Theme	Plan
Council does not	Possible	Moderate	Moderate	Financial Impact	Provide sufficient
approve the	(3)	(3)	(9)	\$1million to \$5	information for
recommendation				million	informed decision
and awards the					making.
tender					

The following Risk Matrix has been applied:

Risk Matrix						
Conseque	ence	Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
Likelihood		1	2	3	4	5
Almost Certain	5	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	Extreme
Almost Certain	5	(5)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(25)
Likely	4	Low	Moderate	High	High	Extreme
LIKEIY	4	(4)	(8)	(12)	(16)	(20)
Possible	3	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	High
FUSSIBLE		(3)	(6)	(9)	(12)	(15)
Unlikely	2	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High
Uninkely	2	(2)	(4)	(6)	(8)	(10)
Rare	1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Nait		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)

Adoption of this item has been evaluated against the Shire of Ashburton's Risk Management Policy CORP5 Risk Matrix.

The initial perceived level of risk is "Moderate" risk and will be reduced through exploring an alternative staff housing option, with a separate item to be presented.

## **Policy implications**

#### FIN12 Purchasing Policy

The Shire of Ashburton is committed to delivering best practice in the purchasing of goods, services and works that align with the principles of transparency, probity and good governance whereby establishing efficient, effective, economical, and sustainable procedures in all purchasing activities.

#### Voting requirement

Simple majority

#### **Councillor interest declarations**

Cr M Lynch - Impartiality

#### Officer recommendation

That with respect to the award of Request for Tender (RFT) 01.22 - Design and Construction of Two (2) New Residences, Tom Price, Council:

- a Accepts the Evaluation Report, as included in Confidential Attachment 11.1A, and
- b In accordance with Regulation 18(5) of the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*, declines to accept any tender as it was considered the submissions do not represent value for money.

## 12 Corporate Services reports

## 12.1 Monthly Schedule of Accounts Paid

File reference	FM03
Author's name Author's position Author's interest	T Dayman Manager Finance and Administration Nil
Authorising officer's name Authorising officer's position Authorising officer's interest	N Cain Director Corporate Services Nil
Name of applicant / respondent	Not applicable
Date report written	25 March 2022
Previous meeting reference	Not applicable

#### Summary

Council is required to have produced a Schedule of Accounts Paid each month containing relevant information, as legislated.

The purpose of this Report is to present the -

- Schedule of Creditor Accounts Paid for February 2022,
- Trust Fund Payments for February 2022, and
- Corporate Credit Card Reconciliations for January 2022.

Council is requested to confirm the Monthly Schedule of Accounts Paid, as presented.

#### Background

The *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* require Shire officers, monthly and within a prescribed timeframe, to prepare a schedule of payments made from the Municipal Fund and the Trust Fund and present this to Council for confirmation.

#### Comment

Shire officers have prepared the Monthly Schedule of Accounts Paid, in accordance with legislative requirements, and this is attached.

## Attachment 12.1A

## Consultation

Executive Leadership Team Middle Management Group Finance Team

## Statutory environment

Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 Regulation 13 (Payments from municipal fund or trust fund by Chief Executive Officer, Chief Executive Officer's duties as to etc.)

Where the Chief Executive Officer has been delegated the exercise of power to make payments from the Municipal Fund or the Trust Fund, a list of accounts authorised for payment by the Chief Executive Officer is to be presented each month to Council.

## **Financial implications**

Payments included on the Schedule of Accounts Paid have been undertaken in accordance with appropriate processes and the Annual Budget.

For the month under review the following summarised details are presented:

Description	Amount \$
Municipal Fund	
Electronic Funds Transfers	2,963,487.70
Superannuation / Payroll (Direct Debits)	458,251.01
Cheques	0.00
Credit Cards	11,018.06
Bank Fees and Charges	1,386.93
Municipal Fund Total	3,434,143.70
Trust Fund	
Electronic Funds Transfers	0.00
Trust Fund Total	0.00

## Strategic implications

Shire of Ashburton Strategic Community Plan 2022-2032

Strategic Objective	Performance – We will lead the organisation, and create the culture, to deliver demonstrated performance excellence to the community.					
Strategic Outcome	Appropriate, sustainable, and transparent management of					
Strategy	community funds. Ensure financial transactions are accurate and timely.					

#### Risk management

Risk has been assessed based on the Officer Recommendation.

	Risk	Risk Impact /	Risk	Principal	Risk Action
Risk	Likelihood	Consequence	Rating	Risk Theme	Plan
Council does not	Unlikely	Minor	Low	<u>Compliance</u>	Provide Council
accept the Shire	(2)	(2)	(4)	Some temporary	with adequate
officer				non-compliances	information to make
recommendation.					an informed
					decision.

The following Risk Matrix has been applied:

	Risk Matrix							
Conseque	ence	Insignificant	gnificant Minor Mod		Major	Catastrophic		
Likelihood		1	2 3		4	5		
Almost Certain	5	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	Extreme		
Almost Certain	5	(5)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(25)		
Likely	4	Low	Moderate	High	High	Extreme		
LIKEly	4	(4)	(8)	(12)	(16)	(20)		
Possible	3	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	High		
r ussible	3	(3)	(6)	(9)	(12)	(15)		
Unlikely	2	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High		
Unlikely 2		(2)	(4)	(6)	(8)	(10)		
Rare	4	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate		
Rale	1	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

Adoption of this item has been evaluated against the Shire of Ashburton's Risk Management Policy CORP5 Risk Matrix.

The perceived level of risk is "Low" because of the financial controls in place and the regularity of review of the information contained within these reports.

#### **Policy implications**

#### FIN06 Significant Accounting Policy

This Council Policy provides guidelines for the preparation of financial reports.

## ELM10 Financial Sustainability Policy

This Council policy commits Council to ensuring no decisions will be made without considering the long-term financial impact of those decisions.

#### Voting requirement

Simple majority

#### **Councillor interest declarations**

Nil

#### Officer recommendation

That with respect to the Monthly Schedule of Accounts Paid, Council, in accordance with *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* Regulation 13 confirms the Monthly Schedule of Accounts Paid for February 2022, as included at Attachment 12.1A.

## 12.2 Monthly Financial Statements - February 2022

File reference	FM03
Author's name Author's position Author's interest	T Dayman Manager Finance and Administration Nil
Authorising officer's name Authorising officer's position Authorising officer's interest	N Cain Director Corporate Services Nil
Name of applicant / respondent	Not applicable
Date report written	25 March 2022
Previous meeting reference	Not applicable

#### Summary

Council is required to have produced a Statement of Financial Activity each month containing relevant information, as legislated.

The purpose of this Report is to present the Statement of Financial Activity for the month ended February 2022 as well as provide budget amendment recommendations.

Council is requested to accept the Statement of Financial Activity and any recommended budget amendments.

#### Background

The *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996* require Shire officers, monthly and within a prescribed timeframe, to prepare financial reports covering prescribed information and present these to Council.

#### Comment

Shire officers have prepared the Statement of Financial Activity, and supporting documentation, in accordance with legislative requirements (as attached).

## Attachment 12.2A

The Director Projects and Procurement has requested the following 2021-2022 budget amendment be considered -

GL / Job No.	GL / Job Description	Current Budget	Amendment	Revised Budget	Reason
22052	Foreshore Masterplan Development Onslow	\$800,000	(\$300,000)	\$500,000	Identified savings.
NEW	Oceanview	\$0	\$300,000	\$300,000	Project identified
Capital	Caravan Park Stage 3				in LTFP for 2022- 2023, with works required to be completed by April 2023. Initial works, including design and headworks require commencement in 2021-2022 to ensure timeframe is met.

## Consultation

Executive Leadership Team Middle Management Group Finance Team

#### Statutory environment

Local Government Act 1995

Section 6.4 (Financial report)

Local governments are required to prepare and present financial reports, on an annual basis and at any other time, and in any other format, as prescribed.

## Local Government Act 1995

## Section 6.8 (Expenditure from municipal fund not included in annual budget

A local government is not to incur expense from its municipal fund for an additional purpose except where the expense is incurred in a financial year before the Annual Budget is adopted (and must then be included), is authorised in advance by an Absolute Majority resolution by Council or authorised by the Shire President in an emergency.

## Agenda – Public Ordinary Council Meeting 12 April 2022

## Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996

#### Regulation 34 (Financial activity statement required each month (Act s. 6.4)

Shire officers are to prepare each month a statement of financial activity reporting on the revenue and expenditure as set out in the annual budget. Each statement of financial activity is to be accompanied by information explaining the composition of net assets less committed and restricted assets, any material variances and any other supporting information considered relevant.

## **Financial implications**

Commentary on the current financial position is outlined within the body of the attached reports.

Proposed amendments to the 2021-2022 Annual Budget, have an overall impact of \$nil.

## Strategic implications

Shire of Ashburton Strategic Community Plan 2022-2032

Strategic Objective	Performance – We will lead the organisation, and create				
	the culture, to deliver demonstrated performance				
	excellence to the community.				
Strategic Outcome	Appropriate, sustainable, and transparent management of				
	community funds.				
Strategy	Ensure financial transactions are accurate and timely.				

#### Risk management

Risk has been assessed based on the Officer Recommendation.

	Risk	Risk Impact /	Risk	Principal	Risk Action
Risk	Likelihood	Consequence	Rating	Risk Theme	Plan
Material	Unlikely	Moderate	Moderate	Financial Impact	Review of financial
misstatement or	(2)	(3)	(6)	\$100,000 to \$1m	position information
significant error in					to be undertaken
the financial					regularly and by
statements.					multiple Shire
					officers.
Council does not	Unlikely	Minor	Low	Compliance	Provide Councillors
accept the Shire	(2)	(2)	(4)	Some temporary	with sufficient
officer				non-compliances	information for
recommendation.					decision making.

	Risk Matrix							
Conseque	ence	Insignificant	ignificant Minor Moderate		Major	Catastrophic		
Likelihood		1	2	3	4	5		
Almost Certain	5	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	Extreme		
Almost Certain	5	(5)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(25)		
Likely	4	Low	Moderate	High	High	Extreme		
LIKEly	4	(4)	(8)	(12)	(16)	(20)		
Possible	3	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	High		
- FUSSIBLE	3	(3)	(6)	(9)	(12)	(15)		
Unlikely	2	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High		
Officery 2		(2)	(4)	(6)	(8)	(10)		
Rare	1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate		
Naie	1	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		

The following Risk Matrix has been applied:

Adoption of this item has been evaluated against the Shire of Ashburton's Risk Management Policy CORP5 Risk Matrix.

The perceived level of risk is "Low" because of the financial controls in place and the regularity of review of the information contained within these reports.

## **Policy implications**

#### FIN06 Significant Accounting Policy

This Council Policy provides guidelines for the preparation of financial reports.

## ELM10 Financial Sustainability Policy

This Council policy commits Council to ensuring no decisions will be made without considering the long-term financial impact of those decisions.

#### Voting requirement

Absolute majority

#### **Councillor interest declarations**

Nil

#### Officer recommendation

That with respect to the Monthly Financial Statements, Council,

a In accordance with Regulation 34 of the *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996,* accepts the Statement of Financial Activity, and associated documentation, for February 2022, as included at Attachment 12.2A, and

- b In accordance with Section 6.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995,* authorises the following additional expense
  - Oceanview Caravan Park (Stage 3) \$300,000

And amends the Annual Budget 2021-2022 as below –

- Increase expense Oceanview Caravan Park (Stage 3) by \$300,000
- Decrease expense Foreshore Masterplan Development Onslow by \$300,000

To facilitate commencement of headworks for Stage 3 of the Oceanview Caravan Park.

#### 12.3 Review of Delegations

File reference	GV20
Author's name Author's position Author's interest	N Cain Director Corporate Services Nil
Authorising officer's name Authorising officer's position Authorising officer's interest	N Cain Director Corporate Services Nil
Name of applicant / respondent	Not applicable
Date report written	27 March 2022
Previous meeting reference	Agenda Item 11.3 (Council Decision 139/2020) Ordinary Council Meeting 8 September 2020

#### Summary

Council may delegate some powers and duties to the Chief Executive Officer and, where delegations occur, a review of these delegations is to be done at least once every financial year.

Shire officers have performed a full review, including a rewrite of existing delegations. The complete review is presented for Council consideration.

Council is requested to adopt the presented Register of Delegations and Authorisations.

#### Background

Delegations by Council are an effective way to reduce red tape and improve customer satisfaction through prompt decision-making processes.

Using the power of delegation appropriately assist local government to effectively deal with a wide range of operational matters which are minor, administrative in nature and potentially time-consuming.

Certain safeguards are incorporated into delegations, such as limiting the use of when a delegation can be exercised as well as granting appeal rights to the Council when an impacted individual is aggrieved with a Shire officer's decision (as set out in Part 9 – Division 1 of the *Local Government Act 1995*).

It is important to note Shire officers are not obliged to use a delegation; where a matter is determined to be of a contentious nature, the matter can be referred to Council.

The current Register of Delegations, located on the official Shire website, was last reviewed in September 2020, using guidelines produced by the Department of Local Government, Sport, and Cultural Industries.

This review has been undertaken -

- utilising the same guidelines, as mentioned,
- model templates from the WA Local Government Association (WALGA), and
- samples from other local governments.

## Comment

The proposed delegation style is based on model templates produced by WALGA.

The style of delegation references the specific sections of legislation, under which a delegation is made, and details the express function as delegated.

A summary of changes from existing delegations to the proposed delegations is attached.

#### Supplementary Attachment 12.3A

The proposed Register of Delegations and Authorisations for Council consideration is attached.

## Supplementary Attachment 12.3B

#### Consultation

Executive Leadership Team WA Local Government Association Other local government

#### Statutory environment

Local Government Act 1995 Section 5.42 Delegation of some powers and duties to CEO A local government may delegate to the Chief Executive Officer the exercise of certain powers or the discharge of certain duties under various pieces of legislation.

## Local Government Act 1995

Section 5.46 Register of, and records relevant to, delegations to CEO and employees The Chief Executive Officer is to keep a register of the delegations made by Council to the Chief Executive Officer, and to any employees, and to –

- Review these at least once every financial year, and
- Have records kept of each instance a power or duty is exercised or discharged.

## Local Government Act 1995

## Section 9.49A Execution of documents

A local government may authorise the Chief Executive Officer, another employee, or an agent of the local government to sign documents on behalf of the local government, either generally or subject to specified conditions or restrictions.

## Building Act 2011

Section 127 Delegation: special permit authorities and local governments A local government may delegate, as specified, any of its powers or duties as a permit authority under the *Building Act 2011*.

## Bush Fires Act 1954

## Section 48 Delegation by local governments

A local government may delegate to its Chief Executive Officer the performance of any of its functions under the *Bush Fires Act 1954*.

## Cat Act 2011

## Section 44 Delegation by local government

A local government may delegate to its Chief Executive Officer the exercise of any of its powers or the discharge of any of its duties under the *Cat Act 2011*.

## Dog Act 1976

# Section 10AA Delegation of local government powers and duties

A local government may delegate to its Chief Executive Officer any power or duty of the local government under the *Dog Act 1976*.

## Food Act 2008

## Section 118 Functions of enforcement agencies and delegation

A local government, as an enforcement agency, has the functions in relation to the administration of the *Food Act 2008* and may delegated these functions to a person or body, or a person or body within a class of persons or bodies, prescribed by legislation.

## Graffiti Vandalism Act 2016

## Section 16 Delegation by local government

A local government may delegate to its Chief Executive Officer the exercise of any of its powers or the discharge or any of its duties under the *Graffiti Vandalism Act 2016*.

## Public Health Act 2016

## Section 21 Enforcement agency may delegate

A local government, as an enforcement agency, may delegate a power or duty conferred on it by the *Public Health Act 2016* to the Chief Executive Officer or an authorised officer designated by the local government.

## Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 Schedule 2 Clause 82 Delegations by local government

A local government may delegate to a committee or to the Chief Executive Officer the exercise of any of the local government's powers or the discharge of any of the local government's duties under this legislation, other than the power of the local government to delegate.

## **Financial implications**

Sufficient funds exist in the 2021-2022 Annual Budget to address the recommendation as review of the delegations was undertaken by Shire officers.

## Strategic implications

Shire of Ashburton Strategic Community Plan 2022-2032

Strategic Objective	Performance – We will lead the organisation, and create the culture, to deliver demonstrated performance excellence to the community.				
Strategic Outcome	Visionary community leadership with sounds, diligent and accountable governance.				
Strategy	Provide, and lead in the development of, meaningful policies and processes to ensure sound and compliant delivery of services to the community.				

#### **Risk management**

	Risk	Risk Impact /	Risk	Principal	Risk Action
Risk	Likelihood	Consequence	Rating	Risk Theme	Plan
Council fails to review the delegations in the timeframe required.	Unlikely (2)	Moderate (3)	Moderate (6)	<u>Compliance</u> Short term noncompliance but with significant regulatory requirements imposed	Council is provided with sufficient information within sufficient time to meet the 30 June requirement.
Delegations provided by Council are inappropriate, without power, or have been superseded.	Unlikely (2)	Major (4)	Moderate (8)	Compliance Short term noncompliance but with significant regulatory requirements imposed	Shire officers continuously review delegations to ensure they are current and appropriate.

Risk has been assessed based on the Officer Recommendation.

The following Risk Matrix has been applied:

Risk Matrix							
Consequence		Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic	
Likelihood		1	2	3	4	5	
Almost Certain	5	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	Extreme	
		(5)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(25)	
Likely	4	Low	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	
		(4)	(8)	(12)	(16)	(20)	
Possible	3	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	High	
		(3)	(6)	(9)	(12)	(15)	
Unlikely	2	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	
		(2)	(4)	(6)	(8)	(10)	
Rare	1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	

Adoption of this item has been evaluated against the Shire of Ashburton's Risk Management Policy CORP5 Risk Matrix.

The perceived level of risk is "Moderate" because, although consequence of incorrect delegations may have significant consequence, sufficient controls are in place to mitigate the risk of significant compliance failure.

#### **Policy implications**

The adoption of Delegations generally leads to the development of Policies.

## Voting requirement

Absolute majority

#### **Councillor interest declarations**

Nil

#### Officer recommendation

That with respect to the review of delegations Council,

- a In accordance with Section 5.46 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, receives the review of the Register of Delegations, as summarised and included in Supplementary Attachment 12.3A, and
- b In accordance with Section 5.42 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, adopts, by Absolute Majority, the Register of Delegations as included in Supplementary Attachment 12.3B.

# **13** People and Place reports

Nil

## 14 Infrastructure Services reports

## 14.1 Application by RFF Australia to add Beadon Creek Road, Onslow, to Restricted Access Vehicle Network

File reference	RD0049
Author's name Author's position Author's interest	M Fanning Director Infrastructure Services Nil
Authorising officer's name Authorising officer's position Authorising officer's interest	M Fanning Director Infrastructure Services Nil
Name of applicant / respondent	RFF Australia
Date report written	22 March 2022
Previous meeting reference	Nil

#### Summary

This item is for Council to consider adding Beadon Creek Road, Onslow, to the Main Roads WA Restricted Access Vehicle (RAV) network.

MRWA has forwarded an application to the Shire to have Beadon Creek Road, Onslow, added to the RAV network to cater for access by "pocket" double road trains – RAV Category 4 configuration.

Council is requested to refuse the application to add Beadon Creek Road, Onslow, to the RAV network as the existing infrastructure does not support this type of traffic.

#### Background

RFF Australia (the applicant) applied to Main Roads WA (MRWA) to extend the Restricted Access Vehicle (RAV) network to include Beadon Creek Road, Onslow.

RFF Australia is a consultancy firm however it has not identified whom it is working for as its client.

This application was to allow 27.5m long "pocket" double road trains (RAV Category 4 configuration) to access Lot 558 to store empty trailers. The application was supported

through a briefing note assessing the route.

## Attachment 14.1A Attachment 14.1B

#### Comment

The applicant provided supporting route assessment documentation (Attachment 14.1B) to support their application which needed to be assessed against the MRWA Standard Restricted Access Vehicle Route Assessment Guidelines (Attachment 14.1C). Infrastructure Services personnel have reviewed the application to determine whether the proposed route complied with these guidelines.

The applicants briefing note states to provide evidence that RAV 4 class road trains (maximum length 27.5m) to maximum weight 87.5 tonnes could access / egress Beadon Creek Road whilst staying "lane correct" (making the turn without crossing the centre line of either road).

Analysis of the briefing note (Attachment 14.1D) identified that there is sufficient evidence to recommend refusal of the application. Justification for this conclusion is as follows:

- Beadon Creek Road carriageway is 8.4m in width, with an additional 1.0m asphalt shoulder on the southern side, not "approximately 7m";
- The maximum allowable weight of the proposed configuration is 98 tonnes under MRWA's Accredited Mass Management Scheme;
- The "swept path analysis" shown on Figure 5 of the supporting documentation shows the configuration is not able to remain "lane correct" when turning into / out of Beadon Creek Road as it is crossing the centre line when turning into Beadon Creek Road and mounting the south kerb when exiting Beadon Creek onto McAullay Road. MRWA criteria for RAV Route assessments states that turning RAV's are not able to cross centrelines of roads where linemarking is installed, which is the case for both Beadon Creek Road and McAullay Road;
- Statement that Figure 5 of the supporting documentation illustrates RAV4 swept path analysis is incorrect as it actually illustrates RAV7 swept paths;
- The swept path analysis in Figure 5 of the supporting documentation does not accurately reflect the turning movements that would be made by a RAV configuration turning at a sharply angled intersection;
- A RAV7 configuration vehicle cannot be 27.5m long as axle spacings will not meet minimum specified requirements;
- Empty trailers recently observed parked on Lot 558 were full length (12.2 13.7m), which are too long to be used in a RAV4 configuration;

- Should Beadon Creek Road be approved for RAV use, the majority of usage would be by RAV 5 or RAV 6 (36.5m) double road trains, as have been observed illegally accessing the road in the past;
- The adjoining RAV route is RAV 10 (Triple/quad road trains) It would be rational to assume further requests up to RAV 10 may be forthcoming; and
- Significant infrastructure upgrade will be required to allow access by these larger configuration vehicles.

In addition, any reconstruction of the road alignment to enable access by RAV of any configuration should be a condition of development for the relevant proponent.

The proximity of a tourism zoned facility such as Discovery Park does not complement the establishment of a RAV categorisation of the road. Further Council has invested significant funds in the development of the recreation of a boating facility with shared use of the road and a conflict between trailer boats and heavy vehicles does not justify the application by RFF Australia.

> Attachment 14.1C Attachment 14.1D

## Consultation

A telephone discussion regarding this application was held with a team member from MRWA Heavy Vehicle Services who agreed that the application should not be supported based on the evidence provided in the briefing note.

The application was also referred to the Manager Town Planning who advised that although it is logical for RAV access to be approved on a road leading to an area zoned Industry with Port Access, Town Planning do not support the application due to the non-compliance with MRWA requirements.

## Statutory environment

## Road Traffic (Vehicles) Act 2012

Division 3 - Access restrictions on certain vehicles that comply with mass or dimension requirements.

Main Roads Western Australia administer the Restricted Access Vehicle (RAV) network. Should the application pertain to a local government-controlled road, concurrence from the Council is sought.

The Council as the asset owner is requested to consider any restricted access vehicle (RAV) application and provide its consideration as to whether to include any proposed route onto the restricted access vehicle network.

## **Financial implications**

All costs shall be borne by the proponent, however, there is concern whether Beadon Creek Road has been built to any required standard for RAV access.

Should the road be placed on the RAV network, the road would need regular maintenance and ongoing upgrade, projected to be at a considerable cost to the Shire.

A full pavement assessment would need to be undertaken to determine the level of upgrade works required.

## Strategic implications

Shire of Ashburton Strategic Community Plan 2022 - 2032

Strategic Objective	We will provide sustainable, purposeful, and valued built				
and natural environment opportunities for the co					
Strategic Outcome	Safe and interconnected transport networks for the community				
Strategy	Manage roads, pathways, and other transport infrastructure according to need and use.				

## Risk management

Risk has been assessed based on the Officer Recommendation.

	Risk	Risk Impact /	Risk	Principal	Risk Action
Risk	Likelihood	Consequence	Rating	Risk Theme	Plan
Other vehicle(s) colliding with turning RAV	Possible (3) Possible (3)	Catastrophic (5) Moderate (3)	High (15) Moderate (9)	People   Fatality,   permanent   disability   Reputation   Substantiated,   public   embarrassment,   moderate impact   on community   trust or moderate   media profile	Reject the application until the intersection of McAullay and Beadon Creek Roads has been reconstructed to allow safe access
Damage to road associated infrastructure by RAV's travelling in either direction between McAullay Rd and Beadon Creek Rd	Almost Certain (5)	Moderate (3)	High (15)	Property Localised damage requiring external resources to rectify	- by required RAV configurations.

Risk Matrix						
Conseque	ence	Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
Likelihood		1	2	3	4	5
Almost Certain	5	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	Extreme
Almost Certain	5	(5)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(25)
Likely	4	Low	Moderate	High	High	Extreme
LIKEIY	4	(4)	(8)	(12)	(16)	(20)
Possible	3	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	High
FUSSIBle	<b>)</b> 3	(3)	(6)	(9)	(12)	(15)
Unlikely	2	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High
Uninkely	2	(2)	(4)	(6)	(8)	(10)
Rare	4	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
	1	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)

The following Risk Matrix has been applied:

Adoption of this item has been evaluated against the Shire of Ashburton's Risk Management Policy CORP5 Risk Matrix.

The perceived level of risk is "Moderate to High" in accordance with the likelihood and consequences if an incident involving a turning RAV occurs at the intersection of McAullay and Beadon Creek Roads, Onslow, in its current layout.

#### **Policy implications**

#### ENG13 Road Management Policy

The Shire of Ashburton manages its road network to minimise preventable expenditure. This will at times preclude maximising efficiencies such as allowing access by Restricted Access Vehicles.

#### Voting requirement

Simple majority

#### **Councillor interest declarations**

[Will be completed by Agenda officer closer to the meeting]

#### Officer recommendation

That with respect to the Application by RFF Australia to add Beadon Creek Rd, Onslow, to Restricted Access Vehicle Network, Council,

a Requests the Chief Executive Officer advise Main Roads Western Australia Heavy Vehicle Section Branch the Council does not concur with the application as the Beadon Creek Road infrastructure does not support the route category, as requested, and land uses in proximity do not reflect heavy industry use,

- b Requests the Chief Executive Officer to write to Department of Transport advising it does not support any form of Restricted Access Vehicle Heavy Vehicle access to Beadon Creek, Onslow, and
- c Requests the Chief Executive Officer undertake a structure plan for Beadon Creek, and surrounds, which includes nearby tourism precincts, marine precincts, and the airport precinct.

#### 14.2 Application by Hastings Technology Metals Ltd to add Towera Road and Towera-Lyndon Road, Onslow, to Restricted Vehicle Network

File reference	RD0049
Author's name Author's position Author's interest	M Fanning Director Infrastructure Services Nil
Authorising officer's name Authorising officer's position Authorising officer's interest	M Fanning Director Infrastructure Services Nil
Name of applicant / respondent	Hastings Technology Metals Ltd
Date report written	24 March 2022
Previous meeting reference	Nil

#### Summary

This item is for Council to consider adding Towera Road and Towera-Lyndon Road, Onslow, to the Main Roads Western Australia Restricted Access Vehicle (RAV) network for the ore haulage from the Tangibana Project.

Main Roads WA have forwarded an application to the Shire to have the Towera Road and Towera-Lyndon Road, Onslow, added to the RAV network to cater for access by 'AB Triple' road trains – RAV Category 7 configuration.

Council is requested to approve the application to add Towera Road and Towera-Lyndon Road, Onslow, to the RAV network subject to Council entering a Road Use Agreement for Hastings Technology Metals Ltd to provide a full maintenance service whilst the Yangibana Project is in operation.

#### Background

Hastings Technology Metals Ltd (the applicant) have applied to Main Roads Western Australia (MRWA) to extend the Restricted Access Vehicle (RAV) network to include Towera Road and Towera-Lyndon Road, Onslow. These roads form part of their project delivery to haul product from the Yangibana site located in the Shire of Upper Gascoyne through to Onslow for processing and export. This application was to allow up to 36.5m configuration vehicles to be utilised on the route. It is proposed there will be up to six (6) vehicle movements per day. The application was supported by a full route assessment by the Australian Roads Research Board (ARRB).

A copy of the RAV application and map of the proposed route is attached.

# Attachment 14.2A Attachment 14.2B

#### Comment

The applicant provided supporting route assessment documentation to support their application, which needed to be assessed against the MRWA Standard Restricted Access Vehicle Route Assessment Guidelines. Shire officers have reviewed the application to determine whether the proposed route complied with these guidelines.

#### Attachment 14.1C Attachment 14.1D

In addition, the applicant has issued the Shires of Upper Gascoyne, Carnarvon, and Ashburton a draft Road Use Agreement (agreement) titled Road Access - Upgrade and Maintenance Deed for the management of the route while the project is active.

#### Attachment 14.1E

The intent is that the Road Use Agreement be signed by all three (3) local governments and the management of the roads, which form part of the route, be managed all under this single agreement.

The agreement has been reviewed by all Shires and is nearing consensus between all parties. The agreement includes the standard in which the roads will be upgraded and maintained for the life of the project.

For the Shire of Ashburton, the agreement will include gravel resheeting, intersection works and maintenance activities.

#### Consultation

MRWA HVS Staff Hastings Technology Metal Ltd Project Staff Shire of upper Gascoyne Shire of Carnarvon

#### Statutory environment

#### Road Traffic (Vehicles) Act 2012 Division 3 - Access restrictions on certain vehicles that comply with mass or dimension requirements.

Main Roads Western Australia administer the Restricted Access Vehicle (RAV) network. Should the application pertain to a local government-controlled road, concurrence from the Council is sought.

The Council as the asset owner is requested to consider any restricted access vehicle (RAV) application and provide its consideration as to whether to include any proposed route onto the restricted access vehicle network.

The Council can place limited conditions onto a RAV approval. The standard local government condition used for these applications is the CA07 as follows:

The Shire of Ashburton supports the application for the above-mentioned roads to be included on the RAV 7 network on the basis that the roads are subject to a CA07 that stipulates the following:

Max Speed: 70k/ph.

Visibility: Lights must be turned on

All operators, as required by the Shire, must carry current written approval from the road asset owner permitting use of the road.

No operation on unsealed road segment when visibly wet, without the road owner's approval.

Direct radio contact must be maintained with other vehicles on or near the road (suggested channel 40)

#### Road Traffic Act 2008

Section 132 (4) of the *Road Traffic Act 2008* permits a local government and person who may become liable for the cost of repairs to enter into an agreement providing for the person to pay a compensation to the local government thereby avoiding being the subject of section 132 (2) proceedings.

#### **Financial implications**

All costs associated with the road use agreement will be borne by the proponent – Hasting Technology Metals Ltd, For the life of the haulage operation. There are mechanisms within the road use agreement which provide the Council the ability to draw on a security bond should works not be achieved. In addition, there are also mechanisms to resolve disputes and manage rain fall events within the agreement.

#### Strategic implications

Shire of Ashburton Strategic Community Plan 2022-2032

Strategic Objective	Place - We will provide sustainable, purposeful, and valued built and natural environment opportunities for the community.			
Strategic Outcome	Safe and interconnected transport networks for the community			
Strategy	Manage roads, pathways, and other transport infrastructure according to need and use			

#### **Risk management**

Risk has been assessed based on the Officer Recommendation.

	Risk	Risk Impact /	Risk	Principal	Risk Action
Risk	Likelihood	Consequence	Rating	Risk Theme	Plan
Other vehicle(s) colliding with vehicle	Possible (3) Possible (3)	Catastrophic (5) Moderate (3)	High (15) Moderate (9)	PeopleFatality,permanentdisabilityReputationSubstantiated,publicembarrassment,moderate impacton communitytrust or moderatemedia profile	General road rules apply, in addition the route standard will be increase from Type 2 to Type 3. Road Use Agreement places operational and maintenance responsibility onto the proponent.
Damage to road associated	Almost Certain	Moderate (3)	High	<u>Property</u> Localised damage	
infrastructure by RAV's travelling in either direction	(5)	(3)	(15)	requiring external resources to rectify	

	Risk Matrix						
Conseque	ence	Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic	
Likelihood		1	2	3	4	5	
Almost Certain	5	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	Extreme	
Almost Certain	5	(5)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(25)	
Likely	4	Low	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	
LIKEly	4	(4)	(8)	(12)	(16)	(20)	
Possible	3	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	High	
- FUSSIBLE	3	(3)	(6)	(9)	(12)	(15)	
Unlikely	2	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	
Uninkely	2	(2)	(4)	(6)	(8)	(10)	
Rare	1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	
Rare	1	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	

The following Risk Matrix has been applied:

Adoption of this item has been evaluated against the Shire of Ashburton's Risk Management Policy CORP5 Risk Matrix.

The perceived level of risk is "Moderate to High" in accordance with the likelihood and consequences if an incident involving a RAV was to occur.

#### **Policy implications**

#### ENG13 Road Management Policy

The Shire of Ashburton manages its road network to minimise preventable expenditure. This will at times preclude maximising efficiencies such as allowing access by Restricted Access Vehicles.

#### Voting requirement

Simple majority

#### **Councillor interest declarations**

#### [Will be completed by Agenda officer closer to the meeting]

#### Officer recommendation

That with respect to the Application by Hastings Technology Metals Ltd to add Towera Road and Towera-Lyndon Road, Onslow to the Restricted Access Vehicle, Council,

- a Requests the Chief Executive Officer to advise Main Roads Western Australia Heavy Vehicle Section Branch Council concurs with the application subject to the inclusion of condition CA07 as following:
  - Max Speed 70k/ph.

#### Agenda – Public Ordinary Council Meeting 12 April 2022

- Visibility Lights must be turned on
- All operators, as required by the Shire, must carry current written approval from the road asset owner permitting use of the road.
- No operation on unsealed road segment when visibly wet, without the road owner's approval.
- Direct radio contact must be maintained with other vehicles on or near the road (suggested channel 40),
- b Only provides concurrence to the above Restricted Access Network approval on the provision Hastings Technology Metals Ltd enter into a Road Use Agreement under section 132 of the *Road Traffic Act 2008*, and
- c Delegates to the Chief Executive Officer the power to negotiate to enter into a Road Use Agreement and, once consensus is reached, the Shire President and Chief Executive Officer be authorised to engross the Road Use Agreement between the Shires of Upper Gascoyne, Carnarvon, Ashburton, and Hastings Technology Metals Ltd.

### **15 Projects and Procurement reports**

# 15.1 Request For Tender (RFT) 02.22 – Design and Construction of Tom Price and Paraburdoo Multipurpose Courts, WA

File reference	CM.02.22
Author's name Author's position Author's interest	C McGurk Director Projects and Procurement Nil
Authorising officer's name Authorising officer's position Authorising officer's interest	K Donohoe Chief Executive Officer Nil
Name of applicant / respondent	Not applicable
Date report written	21 March 2022
Previous meeting reference	Not Applicable

#### Summary

Council has previously endorsed the upgrading of the multipurpose courts in Tom Price and Paraburdoo, and the tennis courts in Paraburdoo.

One (1) conforming tender was received in response to RFT 02.22 Design and Construction of Tom Price and Paraburdoo Multipurpose Courts, WA. The evaluation panel has completed the assessment of the conforming submission and provided a recommendation.

Council is requested to authorise the engagement of the recommended contractor for the Design and Construction of Tom Price and Paraburdoo Multipurpose Courts, WA.

#### Confidentiality

An attachment to this report is confidential in accordance with Section 5.23(2) of the *Local Government Act 1995* because it deals with –

- (c) "a contract entered into, or which may be entered into, by the local government and which relates to a matter to be discussed at the meeting."
- (e) "information that has a commercial value to a person."

#### Background

Request for Tender *RFT 02.22 Design and Construction of Tom Price and Paraburdoo Multipurpose Courts, WA*, was issued via the Shire's e-tendering portal (Tenderlink) on Wednesday 2 February 2022 and closed on Wednesday 2 March 2022 at 2:00pm.

The tender was also advertised via State-Wide public notice in *The West Australian* newspaper from Wednesday 2 February 2022.

Tenders were invited in accordance with the *Local Government Act 1995* to invite suitably registered, qualified, and experienced contractors to submit bids to enter a contract for RFT 02.22, in accordance with the tender brief as detailed below –

The Shire of Ashburton seeks to engage a suitably qualified and experienced contractor for the design, documentation and construction works to upgrade the existing Tom Price and Paraburdoo Multipurpose Courts, and the existing tennis courts at Paraburdoo.

The works broadly consist of demolition works, new shade structures, playing court expansion and resurfacing, new fencing, new equipment, new clubhouse facilities, new amenities, new services connections and various siteworks.

The Works are to be completed under one Contract with two (2) separable portions:

- Separable Portion 1: Upgrade to Tom Price Multipurpose Courts
- Separable Portion 2: Upgrade Paraburdoo Multipurpose Courts and Tennis courts

#### Comment

Approval of the Qualitative Criteria was undertaken prior to the advertisement of the RFT. Each Criterion was given the following weightings and included within the RFT document, as outlined below -

Crite	Criteria			
(a)	Relevant Experience and Past Company Performance	15%		
(b)	Key Personnel	15%		
(C)	Resources / Plant / Equipment	10%		
(d)	Methodology/Programme/Quality & OHS Systems/Risk Management	10%		
(e)	Price	50%		

Each Panel Member individually assessed the Qualitative Criteria responses of the Tender submission.

The submission was assessed against the Qualitative Criteria and each Criterion was given a score in accordance the Evaluation Guide contained within the Evaluation Spreadsheet.

A rating scale of zero to ten (0 to 10) was used for evaluating the Tender submission in accordance with the Qualitative Criteria, with zero (0) being lowest and ten (10) being highest.

The evaluation and recommendation report, including overall evaluation scores and rankings, is attached

#### **Confidential Attachment 15.1A**

The evaluation of the submission received against the quantitative and qualitative criteria resulted in the submission from Emirge Pty Ltd being deemed suitable.

A probity audit undertaken on the process identified there were no probity issues to note.

#### Consultation

Tender Assessment Panel Procurement Department Probity Auditor

#### Statutory environment

#### Local Government Act 1995

Section 3.57 – Tenders for providing goods or services

A local government is required to invite tenders before it enters a contract of a prescribed kind under which another person is to supply goods or services.

#### Local Government Act 1995

#### Section 6.8 (Expenditure from municipal fund not included in annual budget

A local government is not to incur expense from its municipal fund for an additional purpose except where the expense is incurred in a financial year before the Annual Budget is adopted (and must then be included), is authorised in advance by an Absolute Majority resolution by Council or authorised by the Shire President in an emergency. Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 Regulation 11 – When tenders must be publicly invited — Tenders for providing goods or services (s. 3.57)

Tenders are to be publicly invited before a local government enters a contract for another person to supply goods or services if the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, more, or worth more, than \$250,000. Conditions and exemptions apply.

#### Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 Regulation 18 – Rejecting and accepting tenders

Council may choose to accept or may choose to decline to accept a tender. A tender that is submitted at a place, and within the time, specified in the invitation for tenders and which fails to comply with any other requirement specified in the invitation may be rejected without considering the merits of the tender.

#### **Financial implications**

The 2021-2022 Revised Annual Budget has an allocation of \$9,500,000 for the combined projects captured in the RFT, with the allocation broken down as follows:

- Tom Price components \$4.5 million
- Paraburdoo components \$5.0 million

Rio Tinto have committed \$3.0 million towards Tom Price, as per funding agreements, with Council required to contribute a minimum of \$1.5 million towards the project, which has been included in the 2021-2022 Revised Annual budget.

Additionally, Rio Tinto have committed \$5.0 million towards Paraburdoo, as per funding agreements, with Council required to contribute a minimum of \$1.0 million towards the project, which has been earmarked in the 2022-2023 Draft Annual Budget.

The submission from Emirge Pty Ltd is \$11,996,313 (ex GST) (approximately \$1.35 million over the budget allocation).

Council can accept the tender in this financial year and budget the amount as a carryover commitment into next financial year and identify the short fall of funds in the budget deliberation for its 2022-2023 Annual Budget. This will commit Council to this expense, acknowledging minimal funds would be drawn from the 2021-2022 Revised Annual Budget due to timing of the project.

Additionally, Council could consider reducing the scope, or declining the tender submission however this is not recommended.

#### Strategic implications

Shire of Ashburton Strategic Community Plan 2022-2032

Strategic Objective	Place – We will provide sustainable, purposeful, and valued built and natural environment opportunities for the community.
Strategic Outcome	Quality, well-maintained, and purposeful community facilities.
Strategy	Provide high-standard sport and recreation facilities across the Shire.

#### Risk management

Risk has been assessed based on the Officer Recommendation.

	Risk	Risk Impact /	Risk	Principal	Risk Action
Risk	Likelihood	Consequence	Rating	Risk Theme	Plan
Council does not	Possible	Major	High	Reputation	Provide sufficient
approve the	(3)	(4)	(12)	Substantiated,	information for
recommendation to				public	informed decision
award tender				embarrassment,	making.
resulting in no				high impact on	
further action, and				community trust,	
community				high media profile,	
infrastructure is not				third party actions	
upgraded.					
Tenderer cannot	Possible	Moderate	Moderate	Project Time and	Implementation and
complete the works	(3)	(3)	(9)	Cost	adherence to
on time				Exceeds deadline	contract
				by 15% of project	performance
				timeline and / or	management and
				exceeds project	liquidated damages
				by 25%	coverage.
Extra budget	Possible	Major	High	Reputation	Provide sufficient
allocation not made	(3)	(4)	(12)	Substantiated,	information for
by Council				public	informed decision
				embarrassment,	making.
				high impact on	
				community trust,	
				high media profile,	
				third party actions	

	Risk Matrix						
Conseque	ence	Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic	
Likelihood		1	2	3	4	5	
Almost Certain	5	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	Extreme	
Almost Certain	5	(5)	(10)	(15)	(20)	(25)	
Likely	4	Low	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	
LIKEly	4	(4)	(8)	(12)	(16)	(20)	
Possible	3	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	High	
- FUSSIBLE	3	(3)	(6)	(9)	(12)	(15)	
Unlikely	2	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	
Uninkely	2	(2)	(4)	(6)	(8)	(10)	
Rare	1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	
Rare	1	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	

The following Risk Matrix has been applied:

Adoption of this item has been evaluated against the Shire of Ashburton's Risk Management Policy CORP5 Risk Matrix.

The perceived level of risk is "Moderate to High" because there is community expectation the facilities will be upgraded. Without additional funding the project cannot proceed.

#### **Policy implications**

#### ENG09 Asset Management Policy

Council is committed to the responsible management of its assets and to establish a framework to ensure these assets are acquired, maintained, and disposed of in such a manner the agreed level of service delivery is achieved within the constraints of the asset life, cost effectiveness, and financial environmental sustainability.

#### FIN12 Purchasing Policy

Council is committed to delivering best practice in the purchasing of goods, services and works which align with the principles of transparency, probity, and good governance, and establishing efficient, effective, economical, and sustainable procedures in all purchasing activities.

#### Voting requirement

Absolute majority

#### **Councillor interest declarations**

Cr M Lynch	-	Impartiality
Cr M Lynch	-	Indirect financial
Cr L Rumble	-	Financial
Cr A Smith	-	Indirect financial
Cr A Sullivan	-	Financial

#### Officer recommendation

That with respect to RFT 02.22 Design and Construction Tom Price and Paraburdoo Multipurpose Courts WA, Council,

- a Notes the Evaluation Report, as included in Confidential Attachment 15.1A,
- b In accordance with Regulation 18 of the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*, accepts the submission from Emirge Pty Ltd for RFT 02.22 Design and Construction Tom Price and Paraburdoo Multipurpose Courts, WA, for the tendered price of \$11,996,313 (ex GST), as being advantageous and value for money,
- c Authorises the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and engross the contract, with or without modification, with Emirge Pty Ltd based on their submitted and accepted submission, and
- d In accordance with Section 6.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, includes sufficient funds (currently estimated at an additional \$1.0 million) to complete the full scope of works, as listed in the Request for Tender documentation, in the 2022-2023 Annual Budget.

# 16 Councillor agenda items / notices of motions

Nil

# 17 New business of an urgent nature introduced by Council decision

To be informed at the meeting.

## 18 Confidential matters

#### 18.1 Property Purchase – Tom Price

File reference	KIA.0653
Author's name Author's position Author's interest	R Wright Manager Land and Asset Compliance Financial Interest
Authorising officer's name Authorising officer's position Authorising officer's interest	K Donohoe Chief Executive Officer Financial Interest
Name of applicant / respondent	Not applicable
Date report written	24 March 2022
Previous meeting reference	Nil

#### Summary

Council has previously identified the need to increase property holdings to house Shire employees and key workers and an opportunity has presented itself for the purchase of two (2) suitable properties in Tom Price.

The Chief Executive Officer has made offers to the owners of the suitably identified properties, subject to Council approval and due diligence provisions.

Council is requested to consider the purchase of the two (2) properties and progression of the offer to purchase.

#### Confidentiality

This report is confidential in accordance with section 5.23 of the *Local Government Act 1995* because it deals with –

"a contract entered into, or which may be entered into, by the local government and which relates to a matter to be discussed at the meeting."

18.2 Masterplan and Commercial Considerations for Portions of Lot 201 (formerly Lot 9001) McAullay Road, Onslow

File reference	ONS.0016
Author's name Author's position Author's interest	B McKay Manager Town Planning Nil
Authorising officer's name Authorising officer's position Authorising officer's interest	T Matson Director People and Place Nil
Name of applicant / respondent	Onslow Marine Supply Base
Date report written	3 March 2022
Previous meeting reference	Agenda Item 17.1 (Minute No. 329/2018) – Ordinary Meeting of Council 6 March 2018 / Agenda Item 13.8 (Minute No. 707/2019) – Ordinary Meeting of Council 19 November 2019 / Agenda Item 18.2 Minute No. 152/2021) – Ordinary Meeting of Council 28 September 2021

#### Summary

Onslow Marine Support Base Pty Ltd (OMSB) is seeking to enter a new lease with the Shire for Lot 201 (formally Lot 9001) McAullay Road, Onslow. In support of the application OMSB has submitted a proposed masterplan for the site.

The purpose of this report is to provide Council with advice on the masterplan's compliance with the Local Planning Scheme, and to seek a resolution from Council on the future of the site regarding the proposed lease.

Council is requested to consider the Shire officer assessment of the masterplan as being inconsistent with the Local Planning Scheme and Council's stated intention of the use within the Airport precinct.

#### Confidentiality

This report is confidential in accordance with section 5.23 of the *Local Government Act 1995* because it deals with matters affecting section 5.23 (2):

*"legal advice obtained, or which may be obtained, by the local government and which relates to a matter to be discussed at the meeting"* 

## **19** Next meeting

The next Ordinary Council Meeting will be held at 1:00pm on Tuesday 10 May 2022 at Council Chambers, Onslow Shire Complex, Second Avenue, Onslow.

# 20 Closure of meeting

There being no further business, the Presiding Member closed the meeting at x:xxpm.

# Agenda – Public Ordinary Council Meeting 12 April 2022

#### Attachments

Attachment 9.1A	8
Attachment 9.1B	8
Confidential Attachment 11.1A	12
Attachment 12.1A	18
Attachment 12.2A	21
Supplementary Attachment 12.3A	27
Supplementary Attachment 12.3B	27
Attachment 14.1A	34
Attachment 14.1B	34
Attachment 14.1C	35
Attachment 14.1D	35
Attachment 14.2A	40
Attachment 14.2B	40
Attachment 14.1C	40
Attachment 14.1D	40
Attachment 14.1E	40
Confidential Attachment 15.1A	47